# Local Trigger Unit
# Preliminary Design Review

**Authors:**

P. Jovanovic
for the ALICE Collaboration

**Abstract:**

This document is a compilation of texts used in proposals, replies, in technical discussions and exchanges; above all, it is an edited version of the *LTU working notes* that have been scrupulously updated throughout the progress of the project, in order to document the development effort, to explain the ideas, the decisions, the reasons for and against, to serve as a reminder.

# Local Trigger Unit

## PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW

**Revision   1.0    15 October 2002**

## DOCUMENT HISTORY

| Version | Date | Editor | Comments |
|---|---|---|---|
| Draft 0.1 | 01.09.02 | P. Jovanovic | Version prepared for the *LTU Preliminary Design Review*. |
| Version 1.0 | 15.10.02 | P. Jovanovic | Decisions and recommendations from the *LTU Preliminary Design Review* (10 and 11 October 2002, CERN) have been inserted in red. |
| | | | Section **3.10.9**, ***Error emulation***, has been added. |
| | | | This version has been presented to the *ALICE Technical Board* for approval (22 October 2002). |

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Preface

This document is a compilation of texts used in proposals, replies, in technical discussions and exchanges; above all, it is an edited version of the *LTU working notes* that have been scrupulously updated throughout the progress of the project, in order to document the development effort, to explain the ideas, the decisions, the reasons for and against, to serve as a reminder.

As a whole, *the document is a mess* - inconsistent in details, too long, difficult to read, without a natural flow, it branches chaotically in all directions, it's historical, it contemplates a plethora of options and versions, goes back to battles won and lost...

*It's precious, nevertheless*: it goes well beyond a mere presentation for a conceptual design review of a single board; it's a clear (clear?) overview of the functionality the LTU board is expected to deliver; it deals with the system issues and verifies the compatibility; it is pedantically detailed about some aspects of time-tuning...

For us, working on the project, the document serves as a comprehensive high-level design, the first step of the hierarchical top-down procedure we are going to follow. When the project is complete, the text will be tidied up and slimmed down to the format and size appropriate to the board documentation and a proper *User Manual*.

An *executive summery*, re-named ***At a glance*** to avoid false flattery, is added to help "fast readers"; they'll find out that the document is about the LTU...

## 1.2 Purpose and scope of the document

This is a *working document*, currently in a draft form. Comments and suggestions from the ALICE community are invited and encouraged. The document shall be regularly updated, with changes explained in section ***Document History***.

The document gives a preliminary technical specification of the Local Trigger Unit (LTU), based on a subset of requirements listed in the CTP URD [1]. The document does not contain the design details since the design itself is not yet done, but it studies the feasibility of the project and provides the guidelines for the hardware implementation.

Since the project follows recommendations and guidelines of the ISO9001 structured quality management procedure [2], the preliminary specification shall be assessed during the *Preliminary Design Review* in order to check that the expected performance and functionality are met. The detailed design shall be followed by the *Final Design Review*, before the prototype is produced and tested; the *Production Readiness Review* shall be held before the final production.

## 1.3 Document overview

The present section (***Introduction***) gives a brief account of the purpose and scope of the document, explains its structure, defines terms and acronyms and lists cited documents. The following section (***Technical Specification***) describes the LTU's connections to the external systems, partitions the LTU into functional units, and describes in detail their operation; particular attention has been given to the timing issues.

## 1.4 Definitions and acronyms

| | |
|---|---|
| **ADC** | Analogue to digital converter. |
| **BC** | Bunch Crossing (clock) - the 40.08 MHz clock, locked to the LHC machine cycle, used to synchronise the pipeline processing system. |
| **BUSY** | Signal generated by a sub-detector to indicate that it cannot accept another **L0** trigger. |
| **CTP** | Central Trigger Processor [1] - electronic system that receives inputs from ALICE trigger sub-detectors and generates, in each bunch crossing, **L0**, **L1** and **L2** yes/no trigger decisions for all sub-detectors. |
| **DAQ** | (ALICE) Data Acquisition system. |
| **DPM** | Dual port memory. |

| | |
|---|---|
| **FIFO** | First-in-first-out memory (buffer) |
| **GDC** | Global Data Collector, part of the ALICE DAQ. |
| **L0** | Level-0 trigger (signal). |
| **L1** | Level-1 trigger (signal). |
| **LTC** | Local Trigger Crate - a VME crate that contains the local system processor, the LTU, the TTC boards *etc.* . |
| **LTU** | Local Trigger Unit (board). |
| **LVDS** | *Low Voltage Differential Signalling* - a standard differential signal format. |
| **PLL** | Phase locked loop. |
| **RoI** | Region of Interest (option, logic, data). |
| **RoII** | RoI Interface (board). |
| **RoIP** | RoI Processor (system). |
| **TB** | ALICE Technical Board. |
| **TTC** | Timing, Trigger and Control (system) [4][14]. |
| **TTCcf** | TTC Clock Fanout (board) [21]. |
| **TTCex** | TTC Encoder/Transmitter (board) [9]. |
| **TTCit** | TTC Interface Test (board). |
| **TTCmi** | TTC Machine Interface (system, crate) [21]. |
| **TTCrx** | Timing, Trigger and Control Receiver ASIC [7]. |
| **TTCtx** | TTC  Transmitter (board) [6]. |
| **TTCvi** | TTC -VMEbus Interface (board) [11]. |
| **U** | Unit of height in the standard 19" rack (1U = 1¾"). |
| **URD** | (CTP) User Requirement Document. |

## 1.5    Signal name abbreviations

| | |
|---|---|
| **BCID[12..1]** | *BC identifier* word, part of the event identifier. |
| **ClT** | *Calibration Trigger* flag. |
| **ESR** | *Enable Segmented Readout* flag, part of the RoI option. |
| **L1Class[50..1]** | *Class [50..1] L1 trigger* status flag. |
| **L1SwC** | *Software Class L1 trigger* status. |
| **L2arF** | *L2 accept/reject* flag. |
| **L2Class[50..1]** | *Class [50..1] L2 trigger* status flag. |
| **L2Cluster[6..1]** | *Cluster [6..1] L2 trigger* status flag. |
| **L2Detector[24..1]** | *Detector[24..1] L2* readout status flag. |
| **L2SwC** | *Software Class L2 trigger* status. |
| **OrbitID[24..1]** | *Orbit identifier* word, part of the event identifier. |
| **RoC[4..1]** | *Readout Control [4..1]* bits, (*Software Trigger* only). |
| **RoIdata[36..1]** | *RoI Data [36..1]* readout status bits. |
| **SCode[2..0]** | *Sequence Code [2..0]* bits. |

## 1.6    References

[1]    *ALICE Central Trigger Processor: User Requirement Document,* current version available on the ALICE CTP web site [10].

[2]    *ISO 9001, Quality Systems*, published by BSI and other national standards bodies.

[3]    *Layout and Connections of the ALICE Trigger System*, proposal approved by the ALICE TB in March 2001; available on the ATLAS CTP web site [10].

[4]    TTC web site: http://www.cern.ch/TTC/intro.html.

[5]    *Region of Interest Interface*, proposal approved by the ALICE TB on 14 May 2002; available on the ALICE CTP web site [10].

[6]    B.G. Taylor, *TTC Laser Transmitter (TTCex, TTCtx, TTCmx) User Manual*, current version available on the TTC web site [4].

[7]    J. Christiansen et al., *TTCrx Reference Manual*, current version available on the TTC web site [4].

[8]    *Proposal to replace the **L1** Trigger-type Word with the L1 Message,* approved by the TB on 20 March 2002; available on the ALICE CTP web site [10].

[9]    *Content and format of the **L2a** Message*, approved by the TB on 14 May 2002; available on the ALICE CTP web site [10].

[10]    ALICE CTP web site: (ALICE→Projects→Trigger), or, directly, http://www.ep.ph.bham.ac.uk/user/pedja/alice/.

[11]    Ph. Farthouat et al., *TTC-VMEbus Interface (TTCvi-MkII),* current version available on the TTC web site [4].

[12]    Per Gunnar Gällnö, CERN, private communication, 19 August 2002.

[13]    B.G. Taylor, CERN, private communication, February 2001.

[14]    B.G. Taylor, *TTC Distribution for LHC Detectors*, IEEE Trans. Nuclear Science, Vol. 45, June 1998, pp. 821-828.

   B.G. Taylor, *LHC Machine Timing Distribution for the Experiments*, Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Electronics for LHC Experiments, Crakow, Poland, 11-15 September 2000, pp.312-317.

[15]    Orlando Villalobos-Baillie, private communication, 11 February 2002.

[16]    Simulation analysis done by Roman Lietava, The University of Birmingham, July 2002.

[17]    R. Spiwoks, *Dead-time generation in the Level-1 Central Trigger Processor*, ATLAS internal note, version 0.8 (Draft), 8 November 1999.

[18]    B.G. Taylor, *TTCex module test procedure,* revision 1.2.

[19]    Per Gunnar Gällnö, CERN, private communication, 7 June 2002.

[20]    Per Gunnar Gällnö, CERN, private communication, 19 August 2002.

[21]    B.G. Taylor, *TTC Machine Interface (TTCmi) User Manual*, current version available on the TTC web site [4].

## 2.0    *AT A GLANCE...*

- The ALICE trigger system, situated in the experimental cavern, has a *centralised* layout (**Figure 3.2**): the Central Trigger Processor (CTP), the sub-detector interface (Local Trigger Unit) and the TTC partitions are all installed in adjacent racks.

- The Local trigger Unit (LTU) serves as an interface between the CTP and the sub-detector readout electronics. The existence of a uniform interface throughout the experiment greatly simplifies configuration and run-control tasks and makes system modifications easier to develop and implement.

- The LTU is a 6U VME board; context diagram of the board and its connections is shown in **Figure 3.3**.

- In the *stand-alone mode* of operation, the LTU *fully emulates the CTP protocol* and enables sub-detectors to carry out development, test and calibration tasks independently of the CTP, at remote sites, or at times when the CTP is either not available or not required. The timing of the emulated trigger sequences is identical to the timing during the *global run*.

- Sub-detectors that participate in the *Region of Interest* (RoI) option require an additional board - the RoI Interface (RoII). During a *global run*, the board serves as a connection to the RoI Processor (RoIP); in the *stand-alone mode*, it fully emulates the RoIP operation.

- The RD12 *Trigger, Timing and Control System* (TTC) shall be used for the distribution of trigger, timing and control signals and messages from the CTP to the sub-detector front-end electronics.

- The trigger system shall distribute to the sub-detectors the **BC** clock of the *best "TTC quality", without any degradation* introduced by the adopted CTP/LTU structure: the LHC phase of the **BC** clock *shall remain fixed*; the long-term (daily) drift shall be only several hundreds of picoseconds; the expected jitter at the output from the TTCrx chip shall be around 80ps (rms).

- The adopted configuration yields the *shortest L0 latency*, but the LHC phase of the **L0** trigger signal shall change whenever the CTP timing is altered and a rather laborious re-tuning of *all* 24 sub-detector TTC partitions might be required.

- The preliminary specification of the LTU shall be assessed during the *Preliminary Design Review* in order to check that the expected performance and functionality are met. The detailed design shall be followed by the *Final Design Review*, before the prototype is produced and tested; the *Production Readiness Review* shall be held before the final production.

8

## 3.0     TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

### 3.1     Introduction

The Local Trigger Unit (LTU) serves as an interface between the ALICE Central Trigger Processor (CTP) and the sub-detector readout electronics. The existence of a uniform interface throughout the experiment greatly simplifies configuration and run-control tasks and makes system modifications easier to develop and implement.

The LTU is a 6U VME board, electrically connected to the CTP and to the boards belonging to the local partition of the Trigger, Timing and Command (TTC) system. Context diagram of the LTU, including the sub-detector TTC partition and the signal connections, is shown in **Figure 3.3**.

In a *global run*, the LTU serves as a "transparent" link between the CTP and the sub-detector readout electronics; the board performs the necessary conversions of signal levels and provides some on-line monitoring options.

In the *stand-alone mode* of operation, the LTU *fully emulates the CTP protocol* and enables sub-detectors to carry out development, test and calibration tasks independently of the CTP, at remote sites, or at times when the CTP is either not available or not required. The LTU generates fully programmable *trigger sequences*. The sequences could be "linked" to form a *burst*. A *sequence,* or a *burst* can be executed either as a *single-shot,* or as a *continuous* loop. The timing is controlled by the software; by an internal random signal generator, with a programmable average rate; by a pre-scaled **BC** clock, with a programmable pre-scale factor; or by an external local pulser. Mode selection is done by the software.

### 3.2     Layout of the ALICE trigger system

The *centralised* layout of the ALICE trigger system [3], shown in **Figure 3.2**, has been approved by the ALICE Technical Board (TB). The hardware shall be situated in the experimental cavern. All the sub-detector TTC partitions shall be *centrally* located, installed in a number of VME crates, in racks adjacent to the rack with the CTP and the ALICE TTC Machine Interface (TTCmi). (The RoI fan-out electronics, or the entire RoI system, could also be mounted in the rack.).

Each VME crate (21 slots) shall accommodate at least 4 TTC partitions (3 boards per partition; 4, if the RoI interface is also required); each crate shall have its own processor. For the maximum number of 24 sub-detectors, the total of 6 VME crates shall be required. The system is scalable - the crates will be added as the number of ALICE sub-detector increases.

The electrical connections between the CTP and the sub-detector TTC partitions are represented with arrows in **Figure 3.2**; more details are given in section **3.5.1**. The length of the connections is reduced to a practical minimum.

(The CTP trigger inputs and the RoI inputs not shown)

VME crate with power supplies and cooling fans (6U + 2U); used for the CTP, the TTC partitions and, optionally, for the RoI electronics.

TTC Machine Interface (TTCmi) crate, with power supplies and cooling fans (3U + 1U).

Cable tray (2U).

Trigger system connections: TTC optical fibre (1310 nm, 4.9ns per metre); a cable for the **L0** signal; differential cable for the LVDS **Busy** signal.

The CTP to LTU connection - 7 differential signals, 16 lines.

The **BC** clock distribution (50Ω coaxial cable).

The RoI connections (not yet defined).

**Figure 3.2**  Layout and connections of the ALICE trigger system

It improves the system reliability, diminishes the transmission error rate and eliminates a potential source of system noise.

The **BC** clock distribution network is also reduced to a minimum, which enhances clock stability and eliminates another potential source of system noise.

## 3.3    Context diagram of the LTU

The context diagram in **Figure 3.3** shows the LTU as a part of the sub-detector *TTC partition*. The two other boards, the TTCvi and the TTCex, have been developed by the RD12 collaboration; their description and documentation are available on the TTC Web site [4]. Sub-detectors that participate in the Region of Interest option require an additional board - the *RoI Interface* [5].

The LTU connections to the CTP are explained in detail in section **3.5.1**.

The connections to the TTCvi and the TTCex boards, with some added details, are also shown in **Figure 3.14.1.**

The sub-detector readout electronics is located in the experimental cavern, 20 to 50 metres away from the CTP rack. The only electrical connections are the **L0** signal (cables with a low propagation delay required in critical cases) and the **BUSY** input. All the other CTP signals and data are transmitted over the TTC optical fibre (50/125μm graded index multimode fibre, 1310 nm, propagation delay 4.9 ns/m).

Each of the 10 identical optical outputs of the TTCex board can be locally fanned-out by a passive *1:32 optical tree coupler* [6] to a total of 320 possible destinations. The number could be further increased by the addition of a TTCtx board to the sub-detector TTC partition.

At the sub-detector front-end electronics, the optical outputs are "de-multiplexed" by the TTCrx ASIC [7]. The circuit also "recovers" the **BC** clock, with the jitter estimated at around 80ps rms. The sub-detectors that need a lower jitter could be provided with either a dedicated electrical output (jitter of only 7ps rms, but a long cable could increase it significantly); or a dedicated optical signal with a similar, low jitter, in which case a local opto-electrical converter would be required.

The TTCit board is foreseen as an optional debugging and monitoring tool. It could be added, temporarily or permanently, to the sub-detector TTC partition. It could also be installed separately, in *Personnel Accessible Areas* for example, for monitoring of the TTC operation during the physics run. The board requires only a single TTC optical channel; the dedicated **L0** input is optional.

**Figure 3.3**  Context diagram of the LTU board

## 3.4 Block diagram of the LTU

The block diagram of the LTU is shown in **Figure 3.4**.

The *Selector* block is controlled by the **Mode** signal (*global run/stand-alone mode*). The groups of signals *External inputs*, *Emulated inputs* and *LTU inputs* are in every respect identical. It enables the *LTU logic* block to operate in exactly the same way in both modes.

In the *stand-alone mode*, the LTU shall emulate the operation of the CTP and generate and transmit all the signals and all the data patterns provided by the CTP in the *global mode*; seen by a sub-detector, the timing and the data structures in both cases shall remain identical, although the data content in the *stand-alone mode* is programmed rather than derived from physics conditions. The emulation option is considered necessary in order to "exercise" the sub-detector front-end electronics during the development phase, or to run it when the CTP is not available (at remote sites) or not wanted.



**Figure 3.4**  Block diagram of the LTU board

The LTU connections to the CTP are explained in detail in section **3.5.1**. The operation of the *CTP emulator* is described in section **3.10**. The *LTU logic* block (section **3.8**) formats the data received from the CTP/CTP emulator into the **L1 Message**, **L2a Message** and the **L2r Word**, and transmits them, *via* the VMEbus, to the TTCvi board. The monitoring and de-bugging options, provided by the *Monitoring counters* and the *Snap-shot memory* are explained in sections **3.11** and **3.12**, respectively. A detailed description of the *BUSY logic* block is given in section **3.9**.

The emulation of the RoI Processor (RoIP) in the *stand-alone mode* shall be performed by the logic on the adjacent RoII board [5]. In order to synchronise the RoIP emulation on the RoII board with the CTP emulation on the LTU board, a number of signals (*Backplane copy*) are transmitted *via* the user-defined pins of the VME J2 connector; the details are given in section **3.5**.

## 3.5    LTU connections

Unless stated otherwise, here and in the rest of the document, "input" and "output" notations are referred to the LTU.

The front panel and the backplane connections of the LTU are shown in **Figure 3.5**.

The signals included in the "*Backplane copy*" (**Figure 3.4)** have the LVDS format; the point-to-point connection (100Ω termination required at the destination) is *via* the user-defined pins of the VME J2 connector. The **BC**, **Orbit**, **L1** and **L1 Data** are part of the RoI interface (RoII board); they are required in *stand-alone mode* only, in order to enable the RoI emulation; the signals could be disabled in the global mode, if it brings any hardware advantage. There is no clear reason to include the other signals (labelled "optional"). It could be a convenient way to get access to all the signals coming from the CTP (useful for sub-detectors that do not use the TTC in "normal" way); they would also get the CTP emulator on the LTU board. It is not clear whether the option should be pursued.

The decision about the signal levels (ECL, NIM) follows the requirements of the TTC boards (TTCvi and TTCex).

The **BUSY** and the **L0** inputs and outputs shall have LVDS format (differential, low noise, low power). Two **BUSY** inputs and two **L0** outputs are provided in order to help the sub-detectors with front-end electronics split between two areas (left/right, near/far).

The local **Pulser** input could also have the LVDS format, but it is usually easier to generate a single-ended signal (pulse generator, *etc*.).

For details of the **BUSY** logic - see section **3.9.**

### 3.5.1   *Connections to the CTP*

The electrical connections between the FO and the LTU boards are shown in the context diagram (**Figure 3.3)**. All the signals shall have the LVDS format.

**Figure 3.5** LTU connections

The list of connections:

|          |   |
|----------|---|
| **Orbit**     | 2 |
| **Pre-pulse** | 2 |
| **L0**        | 2 |
| **L1**        | 2 |
| **L1 Data**   | 2 |
| **L2 Strobe** | 2 |
| **L2 Data**   | 2 |
| Ground    | 2 |

Total **16** connections.

The connection shall be made with a 16-pin IDC connector and an 8-twisted pair flat-cable. On the LTU board, the connector is mounted on the front panel (**Figure 3.5.6**). The allocation of the connector-pins is to be decided.

The inputs are used only during a *global run*; in the *stand-alone mode*, the inputs are ignored.

| **L1 Data** serial format |||||
|---------------|----------------|:----:|:----:|
| *Serial bit* | *Data* | *Sequence List* ||
|              |        | *Word* | *Bit* |
| 1     | **ClT**          | 0 | 15     |
| 2..5  | **RoC[4..1]**    | 0 | 14..11 |
| 6     | **ESR**          | 0 | 10     |
| 7     | **L1SwC**        | 0 | 9      |
| 8..9  |                  | 0 | 8..7   |
| 10..25 | **L1Class[50..1]** | 1 | 15..0 |
| 26..41 |                  | 2 | 15..0  |
| 42..57 |                  | 3 | 15..0  |

| **L2 Data** serial format |||||
|---------------|----------------|:----:|:----:|
| 1     | **L2arF**         | 4 | 15    |
| 2..13 | **BCID[12..1]**   |   |       |
| 14..37 | **OrbitID[24..1]** |   |       |
| 38    | **ClT**           | 4 | 14    |
| 39    | **L2SwC**         | 4 | 13    |
| 40..45 | **L2Cluster[6..1]** | 4 | 12..7 |
| 46..52 |                   | 4 | 6..0  |
| 53..68 | **L2Class[50..1]** | 5 | 15..0 |
| 69..84 |                   | 6 | 15..0 |
| 85..95 |                   | 7 | 15..5 |

**Figure 3.5.1    L1 Data** and **L2 Data** serial format

The **BUSY** output from the LTU (LVDS format as well) goes to the BUSY board of the CTP; it uses a separate, single twisted-pair cable.

During a *global run*, the **BUSY** output is transmitted to the CTP; in the *stand-alone mode*, the output is automatically *asserted*. The generation of the **BUSY** signal on the LTU board is explained in detail in section **3.9**.

**Format of the L1 Data and L2 Data serial messages**

The formats of the serial **L1 Data** and the **L2 Data** messages are shown in **Figure 3.5.1**; the abbreviations are explained in **1.5**. The transmission of the serial bit 1 coincides with the **L1 Strobe/L2 Strobe** signal.

The serial transmission time of the **L1 Data** message is 1.425μs; the transmission of the **L2 Data** takes 2.375μs; both times are significantly less than the minimum time between consecutive **L1/L2** trigger signals (~ 5μs) and consecutive transmissions can never overlap. As a result, no queuing or buffering is required.

The content of the messages complies fully with the following documents:

- *Proposal to replace the L1 Trigger-type Word with the L1 Message* [8], approved by the TB on 20 March 2002;
- *Content and format of the L2a Message* [9], approved by the TB on 14 May 2002.

### 3.5.2 *Connections to the TTCvi board*

For compatibility reasons, the signal levels and the front panel connectors are the same as on of the TTCvi board; the signal functions are explained in [11].

**Orbit** (output)

*Signal level:* ECL.

The output is connected to the **ORBIT IN** input of the TTCvi board. During a *global* run, the **Orbit** output re-transmits the **Orbit** input from the CTP; in the *stand-alone mode*, the signal is generated by the *CTP emulator*.

The signal is *active low*; it's about 1μs wide.

The timing of the signal, in respect to the delayed **BC** clock on the TTCvi board (TTCvi front-panel connection CLOCK OUT nim/delayed), is critical; the details are given in section **3.14.4**.

**Pre-pulse** (output)

*Signal level:* NIM.

The output is connected to the **B-Go** <1> input of the TTCvi board. During a *global run*, the **Pre-pulse** output re-transmits the **Pre-pulse** input from the CTP; in the *stand-alone mode*, the signal is generated by the *CTP emulator*.

The signal is 100ns (4 **BC** periods) wide; it must arrive at least 50ns (2 **BC** periods) before the front edge of the corresponding timing signal **INHIBIT**<1> [12]. The front edge and the width of the **INHIBIT** signal are programmable [11]; the associated synchronous command that generates the **Pre-pulse** signal at the output of the TTCrx chip, is transmitted at the end of the **INHIBIT**<1> interval.

### 3.5.3 *Connection to the TTCex board*

For compatibility reasons, the signal level and the front panel connector are the same as on of the TTCex board [6].

**L1** (output)

*Signal level:* ECL.

The output is connected directly to the **A1** input of the TTCex board (the reasons are explained in section **3.14.3**).

During a *global run*, the **L1** output re-transmits the **L1** input from the CTP; in the *stand-alone mode*, the signal is generated by the *CTP emulator*.

The timing of the signal, with respect to the **BC** clock on the TTCex board (TTCex front-panel connection **CLK1/CLK2**), is critical; the details are given in section **3.14.7.**

**BC** (input)

*Signal level:* ECL.

The **BC** input is connected to one of the **BC** outputs of the TTCex board (**CLK1/CLK2**). The outputs are generated by the local PLL, locked to the TTCmi master clock (40.079 MHz) if the clock is available, or, in the absence of the TTCmi, acting as a free-running oscillator of a similar frequency.

The adopted clock distribution scheme (**Figure 3.3**) complies with the recommendations set by the TTC group [13] in order to achieve a minimum clock jitter.

### 3.5.4 *Connections to the sub-detector electronics*

**L0** (output)

*Signal level:* LVDS.

Two identical outputs are provided in order to help sub-detectors with front-end electronics split between two areas (left/right, near/far).

During a *global run*, the **L0** outputs re-transmits the **L0** input from the CTP; in the *stand-alone mode*, the signals are generated by the *CTP emulator*.

Note: The LVDS level is a "popular choice" - low power, low noise, *etc.* , but it's not directly compatible with "fast cables" (coaxial cables with low propagation delay, required by sub-detectors for which the **L0** latency is critical); the level conversion would bring in additional delay (although some kind of re-transmission shall always be necessary in order to fan-out the signal).

The issue was discussed during the *LTU Preliminary Design Review* and it was decided to use the LVDS level for the **L0** outputs.

**BUSY** (input)

*Signal level:* LVDS.

Two **BUSY** inputs are provided in order to help sub-detectors with front-end electronics split between two areas (left/right, near/far). Their handling on the LTU board is explained in section **3.9**.

The signals shall be automatically asserted if the sub-detector readout electronics is switched off, or if the cable is disconnected (CTP URD [1], requirement 1.10.8).

Note: Sub-detectors that operate with only one input must disable the unused input (configuration option); otherwise the *OR*ed **BUSY** (**Figure 3.9**) will be asserted permanently.

It was recommended by the *LTU Preliminary Design Review* to filter the **BUSY** inputs in order to remove glitches that might occur during signal transmission.

Note: The introduction of the glitch filter adds 25ns to the BUSY latency.

**Pulser (input)**

*Signal level:* ECL.

The **Pulser** signal is used only in the *stand-alone mode* to start a selected trigger sequence (section **3.10**). The timing is provided by the front edge; the pulse width is arbitrary.

During a *global run*, the input is ignored.

### 3.5.5  *Connection to the TTCit board*

**L0** (output)

*Signal level:* LVDS.

The signal is another copy of the **L0** outputs, connected to the sub-detector electronics.

On the TTCit board, in all modes, the signal is used for error monitoring.


### 3.5.6 *LTU board front panel*

The "artist's view" of the LTU front panel is shown in **Figure 3.5.6**; all the connector part-numbers are given (CERN stores items); main dimensions are also included.

The outputs *A* and *B* are dedicated connections for the oscilloscope probe: 50Ω termination is required; the signal level is TTL attenuated 20 times. Their function is explained in section **3.13**.

Two inputs are provided for the **BC** clock. One is the ECL level, supplied by the TTCex board; this is the connection that shall be used in the final system (see **Figure 3.3**). The other input is the NIM level, compatible with the corresponding output from the TTCvi board. This option enables the LTU to operate without the TTCex board in the partition.

Note:     Because of the restricted space, double coaxial connectors had to be used and, as a result, a *spare connection* became available. Instead of leaving it unused, it was decided to provide an additional **BC** input. The option is not particularly useful and if, at a later date, a better application is found, the connection shall be re-defined.

The **Pulser** input is labeled *PLSR* (space restriction); *PP* is the **Pre-pulse** output; *BUSY 1* and *BUSY 2* are **BUSY** inputs from the sub-detector front-end electronics; *BUSY* is the output connected to the CTP. All the inputs and outputs are shown schematically in **Figure 3.5**.

The LTU connection to the CTP is *via* a 16-pin flat-cable connector. The list of signals is given in section **3.5.1**; the final pin allocation is still to be decided.

The LEDs are off when the corresponding signal is cleared; they are on when the signal is asserted; the LEDs flash when the signal switches rapidly. The choice of colour is just a proposal.

The LED *BUSY* indicates the status of the signal on the LTU board; in *stand-alone mode*, it could differ from the **BUSY** output (see section **3.9**).

The *RD* and *WR* LEDs indicate a VME read or write addressed to the LTU board (VME slave interface). There is no indication of data transmission to the TTCvi board (VME master interface), but the LED *VME* is available on the TTCvi board.

Note:     If necessary, a LED and a label could be "squeezed" between the LEDs *A* and *B* and the 16-pin connector.

**Figure 3.5.6** LTU board - front panel

Components and dimensions

## 3.6    Use of the TTC system

In the ALICE experiment, the RD12 *Trigger, Timing and Control System* (TTC) [14] will be used for the distribution of trigger, timing and control signals and messages from the Central Trigger Processor (CTP) to the sub-detector front-end electronics. It has been an obvious choice: the TTC is a high performance system, thoroughly tested and well supported by a friendly group of experts at CERN.

The TTC has been developed as a general-purpose machine, with a number of connections and a variety of options to meet the foreseen requirements of a generic LHC experiment (ATLAS, CMS). Because of its special features, the requirements of the ALICE trigger appear to exceed the "average":

–  ALICE trigger is a multi-level system (**L0, L1, L2**) that operates in the *dynamic partitioning mode*, where individual sub-detectors, or selected groups of sub-detectors, trigger simultaneously and independently. The mode relies on a relatively large number of signals to synchronise and control the process.

–  The ALICE *data-driven* Data Acquisition System (DAQ) requires messages containing trigger information to be broadcast to sub-detector front-ends and attached to the corresponding raw event-data.

–  The Region of Interest (RoI) option, as well, broadcasts geographic information that controls the readout and also needs to be attached to the raw event.

Apart from a dedicated connection for the **L1** signal (*Channel A*), the TTC system provides only a single data link, *Channel B*, to be *shared by all the other signals and messages*. In case of the ALICE, the organisation of the multiplexing is a critical task, made particularly difficult by the increased number of signals and numerous and varied restrictions (some signals must remain in synchronism with the LHC beam; some require a minimum latency; for most, the order of transmission must be preserved; others require strictly controlled priority rules; *etc.* ).

### 3.6.1   *TTC address allocation*

The 32-bit long-format TTC word has the following structure:

| D31 | D[30..17] | D16 | D[15..8] | D[7..0] |
|-----|-----------|-----|----------|---------|
| 1 | 14-bit TTCrx address | E | 8-bit sub-address | 8-bit data |

The D[31..16] part is *always* set to H8001 (broadcast to an external register; for details, see section **3.8.3**).

The remaining 16 bits, available for the TTC transmissions, shall be divided into two fields - the 4-bit *TTC Address* and the 12-bits *TTC Data*:

| D[15..12] | D[11..0] |
|---|---|
| 4-bit *TTC Address* | 12-bit *TTC Data* |

The partition works very well: the BC ID (12 bits), the Orbit ID (24 bits), the RoI sectors (36 bits) happen all to be multiples of 12-bit data word; the 4-bit address range is sufficient.

The allocation of the TTC addresses is shown in the table.

| Code | *TTC Address* | Application |
|---|---|---|
| 0 | | Reserved |
| 1 | **L1** header | *L1 Message* - word 1 |
| 2 | **L1** data | *L1 Message* - words 2 to 5 |
| 3 | **L2a** header | *L2a Message* - word 1 |
| 4 | **L2a** data | *L2a Message* - words 2 to 8 |
| 5 | **L2r** address | *L2r Word* |
| 6 | **RoI** header | *RoI Data* - word 1 |
| 7 | **RoI** data | *RoI Data* - words 2 to 4 |
| 8..11 | | Reserved for the CTP |
| 12..15 | | Available to sub-detectors |

Address 0 is to be avoided; it will be used only if absolutely necessary.

Addresses 8 to 11 are reserved for the CTP use, for applications related to configuring the global parameters in the FPGAs that accompany the TTCrx chip; in that way, the implementation differences among sub-detectors could be made transparent to the configuration task. Since the number of parameters/registers is likely to be larger than 4, the register addressing shall be *indirect*: first, a dedicated *TTC Address* would load the register address; then, another *TTC Address* would be used to transmit the data. Although only two addresses are required, it is prudent to leave some spares. The indirect addressing is slower - two transmissions for each data word; it is, nevertheless, acceptable since the configuration task is never performed during data taking.

Addresses 12 to 15 are left for sub-detector specific applications; necessarily, they will be used differently by different sub-detectors. The indirect addressing (see above) can be used to increase the addressing space.

### 3.6.2    *Format of the L1 Message*

The format of the *L1 Message* is taken from the proposal [8] approved by the ALICE TB on 20 March 2002.

Upon reception of the serial **L1 Data** message (section **3.5.1**), the LTU transmits to the sub-detector front-end electronics the *L1 Message*; the message is transmitted *via* the *Channel B* of the TTC partition. The data contained in the **L1 Data** message are formatted in the following way:

**Word 1:**

| | |
|---|---|
| *L1 header address* | 4 bits |
| | |
| Spare bits | 3 bits |
| **ClT** | 1 bit |
| **RoC[4..1]** | 4 bits |
| **ESR** | 1 bit |
| **L1SwC** | 1 bit |
| **L1Class[50..49]** | 2 bit |

**Word 2:**

| | |
|---|---|
| *L1 data address* | 4 bits |
| **L1Class[48..37]** | 12 bits. |

**Word 3:**

| | |
|---|---|
| *L1 data address* | 4 bits |
| **L1Class[36..25]** | 12 bits. |

**Word 4:**

| | |
|---|---|
| *L1 data address* | 4 bits |
| **L1Class[24..13]** | 12 bits. |

**Word 5:**

| | |
|---|---|
| *L1 data address* | 4 bits |
| **L1Class[12..1]** | 12 bits. |

There shall be a programmable option on the LTU board to completely suspend the transmission of the *L1 Message*; enable the transmission of the first word only; or allow the full message to be transmitted.

The first word shall have a unique *L1 header* address (4 bits); the words 2-5 shall have the same *L1 data* address. The address codes are given in section **3.6**.

Note:    The allocation of a different address to the last word (*L1 trailer* address) was considered. It could have been useful in order to detect a reception of an incomplete *L1 Message*, but the monitoring and reporting task would be too complicated for the front-end electronics (timing jitter, *etc.*). The recovery from an error is automatic - the first word, recognized by its unique address (*L1 header*), resets/restarts the front-end state machine. The comment also applies to the *L2a Message* and the **RoI Data**.

### 3.6.3   *Format of the L2a Message*

The format of the **L2a Message** and of the **L2r Word** (next section) are taken from the proposal [9] approved by the ALICE TB on 14 May 2002.

Upon reception of the serial **L2 Data** message (section **3.5.1**), if the **L2arF** flag is asserted, the LTU transmits to the sub-detector front-end electronics the **L2a Message**; the message is transmitted *via* the *Channel B* of the TTC partition. The data contained in the **L2 Data** message are formatted in the following way:

**Word 1:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| *L2a header address* | 4 bits | |
| **BCID[12..1]** | 12 bits | |

**Word 2:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| *L2a data address* | 4 bits | |
| **OrbitID[24..13]** | 12 bits | |

**Word 3:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| *L2a data address* | 4 bits | |
| **OrbitID[12..1]** | 12 bits | |

**Word 4:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| *L2a data address* | 4 bits | |
| Spare bits | 2 bits | |
| **CIT** | 1 bit | |
| **L2Sw** | 1 bit | |
| **L2Cluster[6..1]** | 6 bits | *1* |
| **L2Class[50..49]** | 2 bits | *1* |

**Word 5:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| *L2a data address* | 4 bits | |
| **L2Class[48..37]** | 12 bits | *2* |

**Word 6:**

|  |  |  |
|---|---|---|
| *L2a data* address | 4 bits | |
| **L2Class[36..25]** | 12 bits | *3 |

**Word 7:**

|  |  |  |
|---|---|---|
| *L2a data address* | 4 bits | |
| **L2Class[24..13]** | 12 bits | *1 |

**Word 8:**

|  |  |  |
|---|---|---|
| *L2a data address* | 4 bits | |
| **L2Class[12..1]** | 12 bits | *1 |

*1 - Not used in case of a *Software Trigger*; reads 0.
*2 - In case of a *Software Trigger* - detector readout status **L2Detector[24..13]**.
*3 - In case of a *Software Trigger* - detector readout status **L2Detector[12..1]**.


### 3.6.4   *Format of the L2r Word*

If the **L2arF** flag is cleared in the serial **L2 Data** message (section **3.5.1**), the LTU transmits to the sub-detector front-end electronics the *L2r Word* with the following format:

|  |  |
|---|---|
| *L2r* address | 4 bits |
| **BCID[12..1]** | 12 bits |

The **BCID** identifier should be used at the front-end to cross check that the queued raw data correspond to the same event for which the **L2r** decision has been made.


## 3.7     **Region of Interest interface**

The description of the Region of Interest interface [5] was approved by the ALICE Technical Board on 14 May 2002.

**Figure 3.7.1** presents the context diagram of the RoI system, surrounded by the ALICE Trigger and DAQ electronics. The system is conveniently divided into two blocks: the *Region of Interest Processor* (RoIP) and the *Region of Interest Interface* (RoII), following the analogy with the CTP and the LTU. The blocks are only notional - the final partitioning and definitions of block functions will come eventually from the group responsible for the project.

This sections covers only the following interface areas that concern the LTU board and the sub-detector TTC partition:

- RoII to TTC interface,
- LTU to RoII interface (*stand-alone* mode only).

### 3.7.1   *RoII to TTC transmission*

For each **L1** trigger with the asserted **ESR** flag, the RoI logic is obliged to broadcast the ***RoI Data*** to all the sub-detectors participating in the RoI option. The broadcast takes place after the **L1** trigger.

The ***RoI Data*** shall be transmitted via the Region of Interest Interface board (RoII) that will be added to the TTC partition of all sub-detectors participating in the option (**Figure 3.7.1**). The board shall be a VME master, with a lower bus-grant priority than the ***L1 Message/L2r Word/L2a Message*** channel on the LTU board, in order to give the priority to the transmission of the ***L1 Messages***. The ***RoI Data*** shall be transmitted to the priority-level 3 FIFO on the TTCvi board [11]. The transmission of the ***RoI Data*** over the *Channel B* of the TTC partition shall have the following format:

> **Word 1:**
>
> > *RoI header address*     4 bits
> > **BCID[12..1]**          12 bits
>
> **Word 2:**
>
> > *RoI data address*     4 bits
> > **RoIdata[36..25]**    12 bits
>
> **Word 3:**
>
> > *RoI data address*     4 bits
>
> **RoIdata[24..13]**      12 bits
>
> **Word 4:**
>
> > *RoI data address*     4 bits
> > **RoIdata[12..1]**          12 bits

The **BCID[12..1]** is a bunch crossing number of the corresponding **L1** trigger (part of the event identifier); the bits of the **RoIdata** point to the 36 ALICE sectors that are to be included, or otherwise, in the segmented readout.

The first word shall have a unique *RoI header* address (4 bits); the words 2-4 shall have the same *RoI data* address. The corresponding address code is given in section **3.6.1**

### 3.7.2   *LTU to RoII interface*

In the *stand-alone mode*, the LTU shall emulate the operation of the CTP and generate and transmit all the signals and all the data patterns provided by the CTP in the *global mode*. It is assumed that a similar emulation option will be required for the development and testing of the sub-detectors' RoI electronics. A natural place for the RoIP emulator would be the RoII board and the

emulated (programmed) **RoI** *Data* would be transmitted *via* the VMEbus, in the same way, with the same timing and the same data structure used in the *global mode* (see the previous section).



**Figure 3.7.1**      Context diagram the RoI option

In order to synchronize the RoIP emulation on the RoII board with the CTP emulation on the LTU board, the following signals, generated on the LTU board, need to be transmitted to the RoII board:

- **BC**,
- **Orbit**,
- **L1**,
- **L1 Data**.

The **Orbit**, the **L1** and the **L1 Data** signals shall be in *Non Return to Zero* (NRZ) format; all signal transitions shall be synchronized with the **BC** clock.

The **BC** and the **Orbit** would always be a straight copy of the corresponding signals used on the LTU board; in a *global run*, the **L1** and the **L1 Data** would be a copy of the corresponding signals received from the CTP, while, in the *stand-alone mode*, the equivalent signals would be generated by the CTP emulator.

The format and other details about the **L1 Data** serial message are given in section **3.5.1**.

In the *stand-alone mode*, upon a reception of the **L1 Data** with the asserted **ESR** flag, the RoI emulator on the RoII board shall transmit the *RoI Data* to the sub-detector front-end electronics in a manner described in detail in the previous section (*via* the VMEbus and the TTC partition). The **BCID[12..1]** part shall be generated by the local bunch-crossing counter, synchronous with a similar counter that is part of the CTP emulator on the LTU board. The **RoIdata[36..1]** data are likely to be pre-programmed, but, if required, a more sophisticated structure, more akin to the operation of the RoIP, could be derived from the information available in the **L1 Data.**

In the *global mode*, the *RoI Data* shall be generated by the RoI Processor (RoIP), the emulators on both the LTU and the RoII boards shall be disabled and the signals transmitted from the LTU board ignored (apart from the **BC** clock that is likely to be required in all the modes). If found useful, an automatic disabling of the signals could be made a programmable option.

All the signals transmitted from the LTU to the RoII board shall have the LVDS format; the connection shall be made *via* the user-defined pins of the VME J2 connector (*Backplane copy* in **Figure 3.4**): a slot "reserved" for an optional RoII board shall be next to the LTU board and, if the option is used, a "wired" backplane connection needs to be plugged-in.

## 3.8 LTU logic block

The *LTU logic* block, shown in **Figure 3.4**, performs the following functions:

- re-synchronisation of data and strobe signals;
- de-serialization of the **L1 Data** and the **L2 Data** messages and their conversion into the adopted TTC format for the *L1 Message*, the *L2a Message* and the *L2r Word*;
- queuing and temporary storage (FIFO) of the formatted TTC words;
- control and arbitration of the FIFO read operation and transmission *via* the VMEbus to the TTCvi board.

The re-synchronisation procedure is described in section **3.14.10**; the other functions are explained in detail in the following sections.

### 3.8.1 *L1 Data and L2 Data de-serializers*

The **L1 Data** and the **L2 Data** de-serializer circuits are shown in **Figure 3.8.1**.

Both logic blocks are very similar: the state machine is "triggered" by the arrival of the **L1/L2 Strobe** signal; the appropriate 4-bit TTC address is appended to the 12 bits of data coming from a "free-running" serial-to-parallel converter; the word is latched into the 16-bit buffer register and then written into the corresponding FIFO.

The allocation of the 4-bit TTC address code is explained in section **3.6.1**. The address code is not programmable - it is set by the system firmware.

The operation of the **L1 Data** de-serializer is controlled by the status of two programmable bits - the *L1 Enable* and the *L1 Format*:

– If the *L1 Enable* bit is cleared, no part of the **L1 Data** is ever stored into either the *L1 Buffer* or the FIFO; the serial **L1 Data** are ignored, the de-serializer state machine has no task to perform and is disabled. As a consequence, no part of the *L1 Message* is ever transmitted to the sub-detector front-end. This option would normally be used by sub-detectors with a single readout mode (most of them).

– If the *L1 Enable* bit is asserted, the part of the **L1 Data** that is to be stored and transmitted depends upon the status of the *L1 Format* bit: if the bit is cleared, only the *Word 1* of the *L1 Message* is transmitted; if the bit is asserted, all 5 words (the complete message) are transmitted.

A latch in the **L2 Data** de-serializer "extracts" and stores the first bit of the serial message - **L2arF** flag (the detailed format of the serial message is given in section **3.5.1**). If the flag is cleared, the *L2a Message* (8 words) is generated and stored into the FIFO; if the bit is asserted, a single *L2r Word* is transmitted. The formats of the *L2a Message* and the *L2r Word* are given in sections **3.6.3** and **3.6.4**.

The writing of de-serialized data into the **L1** FIFO and the **L2** FIFO is done "on the fly", while the serial data is being received; the logic "assumes" that the corresponding FIFO is not full - no check of the FIFO status is attempted.

The FIFO capacity is 256 16-bit words. The need to prevent the FIFO overflow and the mechanism of doing it are explained in the following sections.

L1 Data message de-serializer



L2 Data message de-serializer

**Figure 3.8.1    L1 Data** and **L2 Data** de-serializers

### 3.8.2 *Prevention of overflow of the TTCvi and the LTU FIFOs*

Even when the **L1** trigger rate is sustained at its maximum level (40kHz [15]), the throughput of the TTC Channel B is sufficient to deal with the data traffic.

Example 1:    The **L1/L2r** sequence at 40kHz rate (sub-detector with, at least, 4 slots of multi-event buffering in the front-end); sub-detector participates in the RoI option.

The TTC transmits, on average, 10 words (**L1 Message** - 5 words; **L2r Word** - 1 word; *RoI Data* - 4 words) every 25μs. A single TTC transmission takes about 1.2μs; the TTC occupancy is still less than 50%. The 256-word FIFO on the TTCvi board would deal with occasional bursts of frequent triggers.

The above figure applies to *normal operation* and includes a comfortable safety margin.

Nevertheless, in some rather hypothetical circumstances the rate can be exceeded: in the *individual mode* (the ATLAS DAQ term: a dedicated trigger class; a single sub-detector in a partition; with a dedicated GDC); or in the *stand-alone mode* (hardware testing, an error in setting the CTP emulator, malicious or otherwise).

Example 2:    A sustained **L1/L2r** sequence, repeated every 5μs (**L1** time minus **L0** time); the sub-detector **BUSY** status ignored, or a sub-detector with about 20 slots of multi-event buffering in the front-end; sub-detector participates in the RoI option.

The TTC is supposed to transmits 10 words (**L1 Message** - 5 words; **L2r Word** - 1 word; *RoI Data* - 4 words) every 5μs. Since a single TTC transmission takes about 1.2μs, the TTC throughput is hugely exceeded. The throughput would be exceeded even without the contribution of the *RoI Data*.

When the TTC throughput is exceeded, the TTCvi FIFO becomes full and the *data are lost*. The loss of data is likely to lead to a serious synchronization error (missing **L2r**, incomplete *L1/L2a Message*). Since the problem exists, regardless of how unlikely its occurrence might be, the hardware must protect against the failure of the system.

The protection could be achieved by *controlling the access rate of the TTCvi FIFO* and by having a mechanism of *halting the trigger generation when the LTU FIFO becomes nearly full*. The inclusion of the protection would, to some extend, degrade the "top" performance of the system by stopping/slowing down the operation, but, in normal working conditions, the interlock might never operate - it is likely to be "invisible"; when the operating conditions approach the limit, the system will only slow down rather than crush (lose data). The limit must be set safely below the point of failure - the buffer overflow.

Note:    With the FIFO capacity of 256 words and the TTC transfer time of ~1.2μs, a sufficiently conservatively set rate control could allow, for example, up to 200 words in any 300μs.

The FIFO on the TTCvi board has a capacity of 256 words; its *FIFO full* status is included in the TTCvi status word CSR2, accessible *via* a VME read. A *"FIFO nearly full"* status would probably be more convenient, but the fact that the access is only *via* the VMEbus, makes the status information practically unusable for the control of the access rate.

The only remaining way to prevent the TTCvi FIFO overflow is to *control the rate* of the TTC words transmitted from the LTU board; an appropriate algorithm would be of the *"leaky bucket"* type, with the number of words over a defined (lengthy) interval not exceeding the number of words that the TTCvi can successfully transmit (NB: the Channel B shall also be used for simultaneous transmission of the **Orbit** signal, the **Pre-pulse** signal and, where appropriate, for the transmission of the RoI data).

The FIFO buffers on the LTU board should also be protected from becoming full. They should have *"nearly full"* status bit; the bits should be *OR*ed with the sub-detector **BUSY** in order to halt further generation of **L1/L2** messages. The setting of the "nearly full" limit for the **L1** FIFO is relatively easy since, at any time, there is no data in the trigger processing pipeline and asserting the **BUSY** halts the data flow instantly. In case of the **L2** FIFO, there might be quite a few **L2r/L2a** messages already in the processing pipeline and they all have to be accommodated.

This scheme will require the monitoring (timing) of the **BUSY** signal on the LTU board; or, at least, the monitoring of the FIFO-related component. Alternatively, only the instances of the FIFO-related **BUSY** could be counted.

There is no need for a similar protection on the RoII board since the transmission of the *RoI Data* will be taken into account when deciding the values of the parameters that control the access rate of the TTCvi FIFO ("leaky bucket" circuit); also, the *RoI Data* (4 words) are written into a different, dedicated memory (TTCvi *FIFO 3*) that has the same capacity as the *FIFO 2*, used for the *L1 Messages* (5 words).

*Summary*:

- The overflow of the TTCvi FIFO in normal operation is unlikely, but it could occur in some, rather hypothetical, circumstances. The error caused by the loss of data could be severe - the overflow must be prevented.

- The overflow of the TTCvi FIFO shall be prevented by *controlling the rate* of the TTC access ("leaky bucket" circuit on the LTU board).

- The overflow of the **L1/L2** FIFOs on the LTU board shall be prevented by asserting the **BUSY** signal whenever a FIFO becomes *nearly full*.

- There is no need for a similar protection on the RoII board, since the RoI traffic shall be taken into account by the logic on the LTU board.

The described overflow protection logic has been modelled and its operation simulated [16]. The simulation has confirmed that the proposed scheme prevents any loss of data, even in unrealistic, extreme circumstances; it has also been used to evaluate the timing consequences. The simulation procedure and the results will be presented elsewhere.

### 3.8.3 *Control and arbitration of the FIFO read*

The logic involved in reading the FIFO data is shown in **Figure 3.8.3**.

The 16-bit words stored in the FIFOs are a data-part of the 32-bit TTC word; the address-part of the TTC word has *always* the same content - **D[31..16] = H8001**:

- D31=1 indicates a long-format TTC cycle;
- the 14-bit TTCrx address D[30..17]=0 indicates that the transmission is a broadcast to all the TTCrx chips in the partition;
- D16=1 indicates that an external register, outside the TTCrx chip, is being addressed.

Data from the FIFOs, with the attached address bits, are to be written into the *FIFO 2* on the TTCvi board [11].

Note:   The *FIFO 0*, the highest priority, is reserved for the **Orbit** signal; the **Pre-pulse** uses the *FIFO 1*; the *FIFO 3*, the lowest priority, is left for the **RoI Data** transmission.

When a *FIFO empty* status bit is cleared (FIFO not empty), a word is waiting to be transmitted to the TTCvi. The control logic selects the appropriate FIFO and sends a *request* to the *TTC traffic controller*. When data are pending in both FIFOs, the arbitration logic gives priority to the **L1** FIFO in order to minimise the transmission delay of the **L1** *Message* (some sub-detectors need the data from the message to start the readout).

The need for the "traffic control" is explained in the previous section. The control circuit is based on the "leaky bucket" algorithm that properly models the functioning of the FIFO memory (for reference and some simulation results, see [17]).

The programmable setting of parameters that shape the operation of the *TTC traffic controller* must take into account the "parallel" transfer of the **RoI** *Data* from the RoII board (the case of sub-detectors participating in the option). With the protection in place, if a full transmission of the **L1** *Message* is assumed (all five words), the overflow of the FIFO on the RoII board becomes impossible since the **RoI** *Data* message is shorter (four words) and it is written into a different, dedicated memory of the same capacity (*FIFO 3,* 256 words).

In normal operating conditions, the TTCvi FIFO protection is unlikely to ever become active (see the next section for details). In those conditions, "programmable" parameters should be part of the firmware, the same for all the LTU boards (for all the sub-detectors) and set everywhere for the same

worst case. This is safer to have, easier to implement and the loss in performance is nonexistent or, at worst, negligible.



**Figure 3.8.3** **L1** FIFO and **L2** FIFO read control and arbitration logic

If the traffic control circuit estimates that the TTCvi FIFO is available, the request is immediately passed to the VME master interface block (*VME request*). Otherwise, a delay (dead time) is introduced to allow the FIFO to make room for the next transmission. The *TTC dead time* signal is not required anywhere else, but might be useful to have it monitored for debugging purposes.

Upon receiving the request, the *VME master interface* logic performs the VME write cycle (*Enable VME data, VME write*) and the selected FIFO word is transmitted to the TTCvi FIFO; the FIFO read control logic is now ready for a new cycle.

Signals indicating the *nearly full* status of both the **L1** and the **L2** FIFOs are sent to the **BUSY** logic in order to halt temporarily the trigger generation (section **3.9**).

## 3.9    Generation of the BUSY signal

The handling of the **BUSY** signal on the LTU board is depicted in **Figure 3.9**.

The **BUSY** signal is an *OR* of the sub-detector **BUSY**, the software controlled **BUSY** and the *nearly full* status of both the **L1** and the **L2** FIFOs.



**Figure 3.9**   Handling of the **BUSY** signal on the LTU board

The external, sub-detector inputs **BUSY<1>** and **BUSY<2>** are connected *via* the LTU front-panel connectors; the signals have the LVDS format. Two inputs are provided to help sub-detectors with front-end electronics split

between two areas (left/right, near/far). The inputs are *OR*ed and could be individually disabled by the control software.

The software generated **BUSY** is intended only for stand-alone tests; for obvious reasons, no interlock is necessary to prevents its use in a *global* run.

The **L1** FIFO and the **L2** FIFO status bits generate **BUSY** to prevent the overflow of FIFO memories on the LTU board and, indirectly, on the TTCvi board (for details, see section **3.8.3**).

The VME processor must be able to read the status of the **BUSY** signal in order to properly "schedule" software generated triggers (the case of calibration triggers, for example); the status of the sub-detector **BUSY** signals could also be a useful information for tests in stand-alone mode.

The output **BUSY<CTP>** is connected to the CTP *via* the LTU front-panel connector; the signal has the LVDS format. In the *stand-alone mode*, the output is automatically asserted (VH) to indicate that the sub-detector is not available for a global run.

## 3.10    CTP emulator

In the *stand-alone mode* of operation, the LTU *fully emulates the CTP protocol* and enables sub-detectors to carry out development, test and calibration tasks independently of the CTP, at remote sites, or at times when the CTP is either not available or not required.

The following sections explain the data and the format of the programmable sequence definition, describe the available emulation options and modes, and give the details of the required hardware.

### 3.10.1  *List of emulation sequences*

The LTU can emulate all existing trigger sequences.

The sequence name indicates how far the emulation sequence "goes" - it contains the trigger signal generated *at the end* of the sequence execution: the *L0 sequence* ends with the **L0**, *etc.* . Three bits are used to code the sequences (*Sequence Code*). The sequence names and the corresponding codes are shown in **Table 3.10.1**.

**Table 3.10.1**    List of emulation sequences

| Sequence name | Sequence structure | Sequence code |
|---|---|---|
| *L0* sequence | **L0** | 1 |
| *L2a* sequence | **L0 - L1 - L2a** | 2 |
| *L2r* sequence | **L0 - L1 - L2r** | 3 |
| *Calibration **Pre-pulse** sequence* | **Pre-pulse** | 4 |
| *Calibration **L0** sequence* | **Pre-pulse - L0** | 5 |
| *Calibration **L2a** sequence* | **Pre-pulse - L0 - L1 - L2a** | 6 |
| *Calibration **L2r** sequence* | **Pre-pulse - L0 - L1 - L2r** | 7 |

### 3.10.2 *Sequence List data*

The *Sequence List* is a memory with a capacity of 256 16-bit words. The "description" of each sequence takes **8** words; the maximum number of sequences in the *List* is **32**.

The content and the format of the emulation sequence description file are given in **Table 3.10.2**. The word 0 contains the *Sequence Code* (see the previous section). The bits *Last* and *Restart* control the sequence flow (see the next section). When the *Error Prone* bit is asserted, a programmable error could be introduced into the sequence structure (for details see section **3.10.9**).

The data for the *L1 Message* are stored in words 0, 1, 2 and 3. The adopted bit and word allocation simplifies the hardware implementation. It follows the format used in serial **L1 Data** transmission from the F0 board to the LTU board (see section **3.5.1**).

The data for the *L2a Message/L2r Word* are stored in words 4, 5, 6 and 7. The format "matches" the **L2 Data** sequence (section **3.5.1**).

The event identifier is generated by the bunch crossing counter and the orbit counter, both part of the CTP emulator.

For the convenience of the hardware implementation, the **CIT** bit is required at two locations - part of both the *L1 Message* and the *L2a Message*. This should be "hidden" by the sequence editor. The **L1** and **L2** data are logically related; in case of a logic violation, the editor could issue a warning, but there is no need to "insist" on data consistency - the **L2** data are not used by the front-end electronics, they are only re-transmitted.

**Table 3.10.2** Format of the emulation sequence description file

| Word | Bit | Data |
|------|-----|------|

| Word | Bit | Data |
|------|-----|------|
| **Word 0** | 15 | **ClT** |
| | 14..11 | **RoC[4..1]** |
| | 10 | **ESR** |
| | 9 | **L1SwC** |
| | 8..7 | **L1Class[50..49]** |
| | 6 | Spare |
| | 5 | *Error Prone* |
| | 4 | *Last* |
| | 3 | *Restart* |
| | 2..0 | **SCode[2..0]** |

| Word | Bit | Data |
|------|-----|------|
| **Word 1** | 15..0 | **L1Class[48..33]** |

| Word | Bit | Data |
|------|-----|------|
| **Word 2** | 15..0 | **L1Class[32..17]** |

| Word | Bit | Data |
|------|-----|------|
| **Word 3** | 15..0 | **L1Class[16..1]** |

| Word | Bit | Data |
|------|-----|------|
| **Word 4** | 15 | **L2arF** |
| | 14 | **ClT** |
| | 13 | **L2SwC** |
| | 12..7 | **L2Cluster[6..1]** |
| | 6..0 | **L2Class[50..44]** |

| Word | Bit | Data |
|------|-----|------|
| **Word 5** | 15..0 | **L2Class[43..28]** |

| Word | Bit | Data |
|------|-----|------|
| **Word 6** | 15..0 | **L2Class[27..12]** |

| Word | Bit | Data |
|------|-----|------|
| **Word 7** | 15..5 | **L2Class[11..1]** |
| | 4..0 | Spare |

### 3.10.3 *Sequence execution*

Sequence definitions are stored in the *Sequence List* memory. Each definition takes 8 16-bit words, and with the proposed memory capacity of 256 16-bit words, the maximum number of sequences in the *List* is **32.** The *List* could contain only a single sequence.

In general, sequences are executed one after the other, in the order they are stored in the *List*. The sequence execution can also be controlled by two *sequence-flow control bits - Restart* and *Last*, and by two *sequence-flow commands -* **Break** and **Quit**; the control bits are part of the programmable sequence definition; the commands are set by the control process and cleared by the LTU hardware:

- The control bit *Last* of the last sequence in the *List* must *always be asserted*. The emulation ends after the execution of a sequence with the *Last* bit set, unless the *Restart* bit is also asserted.

- After a sequence with the control bit *Restart* asserted, the execution restarts from the top of the *List*; the state of the *Last* bit is ignored.

- If the command **Break** is encountered after a sequence with the *Restart* bit asserted, the next sequence executed is the next sequence in the *List*; if the *Last* bit is asserted as well, the emulation is terminated.

- The command **Quit** unconditionally terminates the emulation at the end of the current sequence.

The emulation control is depicted as a flow-chart in **Figure 3.10.2**.

The available options enable the execution of a number of sequence patterns, some of which are shown in **Figure 3.10.3.** The sequences from the *List* can be executed only once - *single pass* (a); or repeatedly, as a *continuous loop* (b). The exit from the loop requires the **Break** command -exit at the end of the *List*, or the **Quit** command - instant exit. The *continuous loop* is expected to be the option most frequently used. The *extended loop* (c) "adds" sequences to the main loop whenever the **Break** command is issued. The "addition" could, for example, contain the calibration sequence.

**Figure 3.10.2**     Flow-chart of the sequence execution

1st sequence
2nd sequence
⋮
*Last*
**(a)**

1st sequence
2nd sequence
⋮
*Last*  *Restart*
**(b)**

1st sequence
2nd sequence
⋮

*Last*  *Restart*
**(c)**

1st sequence
2nd sequence
⋮
*Restart*

*Last*
**(d)**

(a) Single pass
(b) Continuous loop
(c) Extended continuous loop
(d) Extended loop variation

**Figure 3.10.3**        Some sequence execution patterns

### 3.10.4 *Flow-chart of the sequence generation*

In the proposed scheme (**Figure 3.10.4)**, a check of the **BUSY** status is part of the **Start** logic (section **3.10.7**) - the **Start** is generated only if the **BUSY** is cleared, and never during the *Long LHC gap*. In that way, all the sequences are executed exactly in the way they are specified and in order in which they are stored into the *Sequence List*; the **Start** affects only the timing of their execution.

There is a slight difference in comparison with the *global mode*: the *calibration sequence* will only start if the **BUSY** is cleared; which is not the case in normal CTP running, but it's not a major concern. Also, a *calibration sequence* is not "interleaved" with other sequences, since the *calibration sequence* has to "wait" for the calibration slot. This deviates from the "*global*" conditions, but any alternative approach would require a parallel and independent scheduling of *calibration sequences* - a serious LTU design complication.

Another problem is that the latency for the asserted **BUSY** is always short for "physics" triggers, but could be very long (up to 88μs) for the *calibration sequence*, due to the waiting for the ***Pre-pulse*** BC interval and the *Calibration L0 BC interval*. This is not very serious either, since it won't happen if the **BUSY** is held following a trigger, but only when it is set "unprovoked" - a practice which is strongly discouraged anyway.

**Figure 3.10.4**     Flow-chart of the sequence generation

In the flow chart, the *calibration sequence*, like any other, is "triggered" by the **Start** signal. It would be possible to make it "self-triggered" - *calibration sequence* starts as soon as its turn arrives *("Calibration?" block placed before "Wait for Start")*. A possible problem could be that, in a continuous loop, the

sequences would follow each other immediately. Also, the *BUSY?* check would be required in the calibration brunch of the flow-chart, before the **L0** generation; this could lead to *calibration sequences* being "modified" (abandoned, since the **L0** must not be generated if the BUSY is on); it contradicts the "principle" stated above that "all the sequences are executed exactly in the way they are specified".

### 3.10.5  *Block diagram of the CTP emulator*

The block diagram of the CTP emulator is shown in **Figure 3.10.5**.

The format and the timing of the signals generated by the emulator is *exactly the same* as the format and the timing of the corresponding signals generated by the CTP in the *global mode*.

The sequence data are taken from the *Sequence List* (for the details - see section **3.10.2**). The access to the memory must be freed at the time of the **L1** decision in order to be able to generate another **L0** trigger immediately (in the next bunch-crossing interval)- a condition that needs to be "exercised" in the development phase. For that reason, the delay blocks are required for both the **L1** and the **L2** signals. An alternative approach could be to "copy" the sequence data in a temporary buffer in "early" stages of the sequence execution - the option to be considered, if hardware advantages could be identified.

The delay of the **Orbit** signal is likely to be required in order to "match" the timing of the CTP in the global mode. It could be done with just few stages of a shift register; initially, the delay should be programmable; ideally, when fully understood, it should be "frozen".

The state machine requires the bunch-crossing number for the generation of the **Pre-pulse** and the calibration **L0**, and for the synchronisation with the *Long LHC Gap* (see section **3.10.8**).

**Break** and **Quit** are software generated commands that control the sequence flow (section **3.10.3**).

At any time, only one sequence can be "active" in its **L0** - **L1** phase. Immediately after the generation of the **L0,** all the required operations could be executed one after the other, and completed long before the end of the interval ($\sim 5\mu s$); accesses to the *Sequence List* memory are always sequential, there is no need for access arbitration or priorities.

**Figure 3.10.5**    Block diagram of the *CTP emulator*

The following operations need to be performed (see the flow-chart in **Figure 3.10.4**):

(The *sequence data* have already been read and stored - the content needs to be known before any action is taken. No further action is required in case of the *L0/Calibration L0* sequence.)

−   Latch the *Event Counter* into the *Event Identifier* register (BC number/Orbit number) - see **Figure 3.10.5**.
    NB: Time critical operation.

−   Read the *L1 Message* data from the *Sequence List* (words 0, 1, 2 and 3); serialize the **L1 Data** (the *L1 delay* DPM memory is part of the serialiser).
    NB: Time-critical operation - the *L1 delay* starts from the moment the data are serialized.

– Read the **L2** data from the *Sequence List* (words 4, 5, 6 and 7) and the content of the *Event Identifier*; serialize the **L2 Data** (the *L2 delay* DPM memory is part of the serialiser)

NB: Time-critical operation - the *L2 delay* starts from the moment the data are serialized.

### 3.10.6 *CTP emulator state machine - connections*

The inputs and the outputs of the CTP emulator state machine are shown in **Figure 3.10.6**.

The signals required for writing and reading of the *Sequence List* memory (*via* the VMEbus) are not included.

It might be useful to be able to clear the ***Orbit** counter*.

The same serializer is used for both the **L1 Data** and the **L2 Data** messages; the total transmission time is 3.8μs - less than the time between the **L0** and the **L1** trigger decisions.

The formats of the serial **L1 Data** and the **L2 Data** messages are shown in **Figure 3.5.1**. The transmission of the serial bit 1 coincides with the **L1 Strobe/L2 Strobe** signal.

### 3.10.7 *Generation of the Start signal*

The **Start** signal "triggers" the execution of the emulation sequence (see the flow-chart in **Figure 3.10.4**). The condition of the signal is sampled by the sequence generator state machine only during the *Wait for **Start*** stage of the sequence execution. It is ignored:

– if the **BUSY** signal is set;
– during the *Long LHC Gap*;
– if the current sequence is a *calibration sequence* "waiting" for the calibration slot;
– if the current sequence is an **L2a/L2r** sequence "waiting " for the **L1** decision.

The **Start** signal can be generated by:

– the software (control process);
– the random signal generator, with a programmable average rate;
– the scaled-down **BC** clock, with a programmable scaling factor;
– the external **Pulser** signal (synchronization with the detector, *etc*.).

The selection is programmable.

**Figure 3.10.6**      Inputs and outputs of the CTP emulator state machine

(a)



(b)

**Figure 3.10.7**     Generation of the **Start** signal

Two versions of the circuit are shown in **Figure 3.10.7**. In case of the circuit (a), programmable code selects one out of four possible signal sources. In case of circuit (b), the software trigger is always selected; code [0] disables any other source; any other code selects the corresponding input *in parallel* with the software trigger. It appears that (b) is a more flexible alternative.

The two versions were discussed during the *LTU Preliminary Design Review* and it was decided to adopt the circuit (b).

It was also recommended that the use of the *Large LHC Gap* veto be made programmable.

Note:    The option to disable the *Large LHC Gap* veto should be used with caution: it will allow the **L0** triggers to be generated during the gap, in violation of the adopted requirements that prohibit such an action. The sub-detector front-end electronics might include interlocks that reject such signals as erroneous.

The software trigger could "fail" if it coincides with either **BUSY** or the *Long LHC Gap*; this might cause confusion. Two things could be done to avoid the problem:

  –    it should be possible to read the status of the **BUSY** signal;

    –    the software trigger should be a pulse wider than the *LHC Gap* (~3μs).

If the wide pulse coincides with the *LHC Gap*, the trigger will be executed immediately after the gap. In order to avoid double triggering, the width must be less than the **L0**-to-**L1** time (~5μs).

The same approach could be used for the **Pulser** signal, but it wouldn't make sense when the signal is used to synchronize triggering with the sub-detector operation or any other external process.

### 3.10.8 *LHC gap synchronisation circuit*

The circuit is shown in **Figure 3.10.8**.

It has not been decided yet whether the bunch-crossing numbers (beginning, end, *etc*.) should be programmable or set in the *firmware*.

**LHC gap** signal is used to inhibit "physics" triggers (**Figure** The **3.10.7).**

An alternative implementation, *based on a look-up table* (4k 3-bit words), might offer a more economical solution and provide additional flexibility (small gaps could also be included in the veto for the **Start** signal, *etc*.). The option will be considered.

**Figure 3.10.8**   Block diagram of the *LHC gap* synchronization circuit

### 3.10.9 *Error emulation*

The sub-detector front-end electronics is expected to monitor the integrity of the received trigger sequences and report any errors to the DAQ system. In order to develop and test the error-detection logic, the sub-detectors need a source of incomplete sequences with a fully programmable structure. It was requested, during the *LTU Preliminary Design Review*, that such a facility be provided by the LTU.

The scheme presented, discussed and approved during the *Review* is depicted in **Figure 3.10.9** (a).



**Figure 3.10.9**        Error-emulation circuit

The emulation of errors operates by preventing the transmission of the following signals/messages:

  – **Pp**
  – **L0**
  – **L1**
  – *L1 Message*
  – *L2a Message/**L2r** Word.*

The generation of errors is *individually enabled* for each signal/message by a corresponding *Enable Error* flag, set by the control process. The occurrence of error coincides with the common *Error* signal, generated by a *random signal generator* with a programmable rate; 100% rate permanently asserts the signal; 0% rate returns 0 state.

The status of the *Error Prone* control bit is defined in the emulation sequence description file (section **3.10.2**). When asserted, faulty sequences will be produced at a rate proportional to the programmed rate of the random signal generator (100% rate results in a permanently faulty sequence). If the *Error Prone* bit is cleared, the generated sequence is always correct, regardless of the way error conditions are programmed. The bit enables "mixing" of sequences that produce errors with sequences that are always right.

The circuit (a) in **Figure 3.10.9** is active only in the *stand-alone mode*. It was realised, in the aftermath of the *LTU Preliminary Design Review*, that the

error-emulation option should also be required in the *global mode*, during the system integration phase and for general testing and debugging. The circuit (b) is identical to the circuit (a) in the *stand-alone* mode, but it allows the error-emulation to be performed during the *global ru*n as well. In the latter case, the error-type and the error-rate are independently programmed for each sub-detector.

## 3.11 Monitoring - scalers and timers

*The list of signals to be scaled:*

- **Pp**
- **L0**
- **L1**
- **L2a**
- **L2r**
- LTU **BUSY**
- Sub-detector **BUSY**
- **L1** FIFO *nearly full*
- **L2** FIFO *nearly full*
- **Start**

In order to be counted, the **L2a** and the **L2r** signals shall be generated by the state machine of the **L2** de-serializer (section **3.8.1**); they have no other function on the LTU board.

In the *global mode*, the LTU **BUSY** (**BUSY** in **Figure 3.9**) is identical to the **BUSY** output sent to the CTP; the sub-detector **BUSY** is an *OR* of two **BUSY** inputs (**Figure 3.9**). Apart from instances of the signals being counted, the integral duration of the LTU **BUSY** and the sub-detector **BUSY** shall also be timed (see ***The list of signals to be timed***).

The LTU **BUSY** is asserted when the occupancy of the **L1** FIFO or the **L2** FIFO exceeds the *nearly full* threshold (**Figure 3.9**). This should never happen in normal operation (see section **3.8.2**). In special cases, when it might happen, the effect shall be monitored by counting instances of the *nearly full* status (a "mini-counter" - 8 bits or so, with overflow prevention); the time contribution, if any, could be evaluated from the available timing of the LTU **BUSY** and the sub-detector **BUSY** (see below).

Scaling of the **Start** signal is a useful debugging tool in *stand-alone mode*.

Note:   The **L2 Strobe** counting is redundant - it is always the sum of the **L2a** and the **L2r.**

Most of the signals shall also be scaled on the FO board and those counters shall be used for the on-line monitoring. "Duplicated" counters on the LTU board, apart from their use in *stand-alone mode*, shall serve mainly as fault-finding tools (connection between the FO and the LTU board, *etc.* ).

In order to enable the VME read while counting is in progress, the scalers and the timers shall use the Gray code.

The capacity of the scalers shall be 32 bits.

*The list of signals to be timed*:

– LTU **BUSY**
– Sub-detector **BUSY**

The timing resolution of 0.4μs (**BC** clock divided by 16) should be sufficient; a 32-bit counter would provide for 28.6 minutes of signal active timing.

## 3.12 Snap-shot memory

A snap-shot memory with a capacity of one million words can capture 294 full LHC orbits (26.2ms).

*The list of signals to be recorded*:

1. **Orbit**
2. **Pp**
3. **L0**
4. **L1**
5. **L1** Data
6. **L2** Strobe
7. **L2** Data
8. LTU **BUSY**
9. Sub-detector **BUSY**
10. **L1** FIFO *nearly full*
11. **L2** FIFO *nearly full*
12. **Start**
13. VME write
14. TTC MS data (8 serial bits)
15. TTC LS data (8 serial bits)

It was accepted, during the *LTU Preliminary Design Review*, that after the completion of the detailed design the final list might include additional signals.

All the inputs generated by the CTP in the *global mode* are sampled at the output of the selector (**Figure 3.4**), in order to also include the signals generated by the *CTP emulator* in *stand-alone mode*.

The **Orbit** signal is used to synchronise data from different snap-shot memories.

In order to reduce the number of bits, the 16 data bits sent to the TTCvi board are serialised in two groups of 8 bits (TTC MS/LS data, 0.2μs serialization time), with the VME write used as a strobe.

It was decided, during the *LTU Preliminary Design Review*, that signal-sampling with the snap-shot memory shall have two modes of operation:

- in the *Sample After* mode, the sampling is "triggered" by a software command generated by the control processor and halted automatically when the memory capacity is exhausted;

- in the *Sample Before* mode, the signals are continuously sampled until the software command halts the operation.

In the *Sample After* mode, the memory contains data from the period following the software "trigger"; in the *Sample Before* mode, the data correspond to the interval preceding the software command.

Note: In order to enable an unambiguous interpretation of the data stored in the memory, it is necessary that the control processor can read the memory address counter and the status of the *Address Overflow* flag.

There is no need for the snap-shot memory on the LTU board to "play back" the recorded/loaded data: the board inputs are easily generated from the CTP emulator. The option would be a serious hardware complication, offering hardly any advantage.

Note: If the snap-shot memories are to be used for checking the interface between different boards, their "triggering" needs to be synchronised. It is not very critical, since the recorded data can be "aligned" using the instances of the **Orbi**t signal, but a significant overlap of the recording time must be assured. That level of accuracy can easily be achieved among boards located in the same crate (the CTP boards, for example), since they are activated from the same process/processor. The snap-shot synchronisation, on the other hand, could be a problem if, for example, the interface between the FO board and the LTU board is to be monitored since the "trigger" would be transmitted over the processor network and could be a subject to unpredictable and long delays.

This is probably a "false alarm". A test (using **ping** instruction) shows that a round delay for a node-to-node transmission of a short data package is about 150μs; it very rarely gets longer. With the delay of about 100μs (one direction only) the snap-shot synchronisation appears not to be a problem even when the boards are located in different crates/controlled by different processors.

## 3.13    Oscilloscope probes

The outputs **A** and **B** on the LTU front panel (**Figure 3.5.6.**) enable an easy oscilloscope access to a number of internal signals; the corresponding LEDs provide a quick check of signal status. The scheme has been successfully used in the NA57 trigger electronics. The connections are shown in **Figure 3.13**.

The connection is made via a 50Ω coaxial cable, terminated at the oscilloscope input. The "×20 probe" (signal attenuated 20 times) has a bandwidth of several hundred MHz and introduces negligible timing distortions.

**Figure 3.13**     Oscilloscope connections

The LED connection is via the LED driver (not shown) that, depending upon the signal status, turns the LED permanently on, permanently off, or, when the signal changes rapidly, makes it flash.

The selector propagation delays must be made equal (to first approximation) for all the inputs; or, at least, for groups of signals that require a check of their mutual timing. The same **BC** clock is connected to both selectors and could be used for time calibration.

The probes (and the corresponding LEDs) are used to verify signal presence and spot any irregularities; with some caution, the relative timing could also be checked. There is no need to change oscilloscope connections - the signal selection is controlled by the software. The use of probes does not interfere with the normal operation of the LTU board.

If possible, a similar number of signals should be connected to both selectors; signals that are expected to be viewed simultaneously should be in different groups; it would be convenient, on the other hand, to have in the same group (**A**) all the signals that are likely to be used as the oscilloscope trigger, and in the other group (**B**) the signals to be "displayed"; *etc.* . The final allocation will certainly be a compromise.

***The list of signals connected to the selector A*** (still incomplete):

- **BC**
- **Orbit**
- **Pp**
- **L0**

- **L1**
- **L2** Strobe
- **Start**
- LTU **BUSY**
- **L1** FIFO *empty*
- **L2** FIFO *empty*
- TTC dead time
- TTC MS data (8 serial bits) (?)
- TTC LS data (8 serial bits) (?)
- VME bus request

*The list of signals connected to the selector B* (still incomplete):

- **BC**
- **Orbit**
- **L1** Data
- **L2** Data
- **Pulser** (input)
- **BUSY 1** (input)
- **BUSY 2** (input)
- **L1** FIFO *nearly full*
- **L2** FIFO *nearly full*
- Select **L1/L2** FIFO
- VME write

## 3.14  Timing considerations

The timing requirements for the LTU board stem from the general decisions about the timing of the ALICE trigger system and the timing specification of the boards that are part of the sub-detector TTC partition (TTCvi and TTCex). The issues will be briefly reviewed; the handling of relevant signals and the system time-tuning shall be explained in detail.

### 3.14.1  *System timing - general approach and main features*

The adoption of the timing scheme for the ALICE system implies a compromise on three essential issues:

- the "quality" of the **BC** clock, distributed to the sub-systems;
- the ease, or otherwise, of the synchronisation of the experiment;
- the latency of trigger signals **L0** and **L1**.

The interface requirements of the TTC system must also be taken into consideration, especially those concerning the connections to the TTCvi [11] and the TTCex [6] boards, both part of the sub-detector TTC partition.

The final shape of the timing scheme has evolved gradually, following a number of "small steps", taken one after the other, with the consequences they

implied. The crucial, guiding principle was the decision to distribute to the sub-detectors the **BC** clock of the *best "TTC quality", without any degradation* introduced by the adopted CTP/LTU structure. The "quality", in this case, means a *jitter* expected at the output of a correctly implemented TTCrx chip, a minimum long-term *drift* and a stable and fixed *LHC phase*. The three terms, frequently used in the text, have the following meaning:

*LHC phase*:     the phase (0 to 25ns) of a clock or a signal *with respect to the real time of the LHC bunch crossing*; can only be evaluated indirectly.

*Jitter*:     a cycle-to-cycle deviation of a clock or a signal edge from its average phase.

*Drift*:     phase shift of a clock or a signal *with respect to the LHC bunch-crossing*; usually a long-term effect, caused by variations in temperature, power supply levels, changes of circuit propagation time, *etc.* ; likely to have a daily pattern.

The adopted design approach results in the system with the following main features:

− The LHC phase of the **BC** clock delivered to sub-detectors *shall remain fixed*; the long-term drift shall be very small - several hundreds of picoseconds; the expected jitter at the output from the TTCrx chip shall be around 80ps (rms).

− With the LHC phase of the **BC** clock fixed, the LHC phase of the CTP trigger inputs (**L0, L1, L2**) shall also remain unchanged (short of major modifications or mishaps).

− Any change of the LHC phase of the CTP **BC** clock shall require a rather complicated tuning of *all* 24 sub-detector TTC partitions. There shall be a number of critical delay adjustments in each partition that shall need to be individually set and verified.

− The scheme achieves the *shortest possible* **L0** latency, but the LHC phase of the **L0** trigger signal shall change whenever the CTP timing is altered.

− The LHC phase of the **L1** trigger, delivered *via* the TTC system, remains fixed, but the effective **L1** latency shall change whenever the CTP timing is re-adjusted; the difference between the maximum and the minimum **L1** latency shall not exceed two **BC** clock intervals - 50ns.

The term *latency* has been used for the delay between the last-arriving **L0/L1** trigger input to the CTP and the corresponding **L0/L1** signal delivered to the sub-detectors. The delay includes the propagation time through the CTP logic (with the 100ns limit explicitly set in the CTP URD), the propagation time

through the LTU board and, in case of the **L1** signal, the transmission time through the TTC system (TTCex board, optical fibre, the TTCrx chip).

### 3.14.2  *Signal connections in the TTC partition*

The connections in **Figure 3.14.1** are identical to those shown in the context diagram (**Figure 3.3**); the connector labels, printed on the boards' front panels, are also included. In the following text, those labels are used as signal names.

All the connections are 50Ω cables, with a length corresponding to 1ns propagation delay (~20cm).



**Figure 3.14.1**    Connections in the TTC partition

### 3.14.3  *Timing of the TTCex board*

The timing requirements of the TTCex board [6] are shown in **Figure 3.14.2.** The signals are named using the labels on the TTCex front panel; the waveforms represent signals *at the corresponding TTCex inputs and outputs*.

The minimum set-up time (5.1ns) and the minimum hold time (3.4ns) for the data inputs **A1(I)** and **B1(I)** are referenced to the *falling* and the *rising* edge of the **CLK1(O)/ CLK2(O)**, respectively.

In a "standard" TTC scheme, both data inputs are driven from the TTCvi board. The board can count the **L1** pulses (*Channel A*); also, the **L1** input could be replaced with a number of locally generated alternatives. Since neither option is compatible with the ALICE operation, the TTCex *Channel A*

input **A1(I)** in the TTC partition is connected *directly* to the **L1** output of the LTU board (see **Figure 3.3** - the context diagram). This more compact arrangement reduces the overall **L1** propagation delay and allows independent timing of the *Channel A* and the *Channel B*, with more flexibility and wider margins.

**Figure 3.14.2** *Channel A* and *Channel B* timing requirements (TTCex board)

The data *Channel B* is handled by the TTCvi board. The timing of the *Channel B* is adjusted with the *BC Delay* rotary switch on the TTCvi front panel, in steps of 2ns. The optimum setting of *Channel B*, shown in **Figure 3.14.2**, provides equal margins for the set-up and hold time. The margins are not critical since the TTCvi and the TTCex boards are adjacent to each other and connected with very short cables (1ns); a different setting might be used in order to optimise the overall timing (see section **3.14.8**).

The "optimum setting" of the *Channel A* is, again, just an example, with somewhat smaller set-up margin (5ns), but reduced **L1** latency; the system provides a relatively wide window for safe timing (see section **3.14.7**).

**Figure 3.14.2** also shows the timing of the **CLK1(O)** output in respect to the **CLK(I)** (signal inversion and 2ns delay [18]); and the encoded signal, **ENC1(O)**, with half-cycles allocated to transmission of the *Channel A* and the *Channel B* data.



**Figure 3.14.3**      Oscilloscope screen dump (TTVex board)

Trace *m1* (blue): **CLK1(O)**
Trace *2* (green): **A1(I)**
Trace *1* (yellow): **B1(I)**

A convenient way of probing the signals during the time-tuning procedure is with the "×20 probe" (1kΩ resistor "implanted" in a 50Ω coaxial cable as close as possible to the probing connector; the cable terminated with 50Ω at the oscilloscope end; signal attenuation - 1/20), attached *via* a LEMO Y-adapter. **Figure 3.14.3** is a screed dump of a typical oscilloscope display: trace *m1* (blue) is a "memorised" **CLK1(O)** waveform; trace *2* (green) and trace *1*

(yellow) are **A1(I)** and **B1(I)** inputs, respectively, taken with the screen persistence of 10 seconds. Both data inputs are driven by the TTCvi board, with the *BC Delay* switch set to position 0.

Note: A "kink" on the signal edges is caused by a fault on our TTCvi board; the board is being repaired.

### 3.14.4  *Timing of the TTCvi board*

The phase of the **CHANNEL OUT** (B/ecl) signal (connected to the **B1(I)** input of the TTCex board, **Figure 3.14.1**) is set by the *BC Delay* rotary switch, located on the front panel of the TTCvi board [11]; the switch delays the **CLOCK OUT** (nim/delayed) signal, the main **BC** clock on the TTCvi board and the reference for all the timing adjustments.



*BC clock input, TTCvi board*

*Delayed BC clock output, TTCvi board*

*Orbit input, TTCvi board - optimum setting*

*Orbit input, TTCvi board - timing requirements*

**Figure 3.14.4**     **Orbit** set-up and hold time (TTCvi board)

The **ORBIT** (nim/ecl) signal, connected to the **Orbit** output of the LTU board, is an *active-low*, 1μs wide, ECL input [11]; the timing requirements are shown in **Figure 3.14.4** (the signals are named using the labels on the TTCvi front panel; the waveforms represent signals *at the corresponding TTCvi inputs and outputs*). The minimum set-up time (6ns) and the minimum hold time (3ns)

are referenced to the *falling* edge of the **CLOCK OUT** (nim/delayed) signal [19].

The optimum setting, shown in **Figure 3.14.4**, provides equal margins for the set-up and hold time. The margins are not critical since the LTU and the TTCvi boards are adjacent to each other and connected with very short cables (1ns); a different setting might be used in order to optimise the overall timing (see section **3.14.8**).

**Figure 3.14.4** also shows the delay of the **CLOCK OUT** (nim/delayed) signal in respect to the **CLOCK IN** (bc/ecl) input, driven from the **CLK1(O)** output of the TTCex board. The Td delay depends upon the setting N of the *BC Delay* switch:

$$Td = 4.8ns + N \cdot 2ns \ [ns];$$

Td is a *modulo 25ns* sum (an example: for the *BC Delay* set to C, hexadecimal 12, the value of the delay Td is 3.8ns).

### 3.14.5 *Mutual timing of the CTP signals*

All the CTP inputs have the NRZ format, clocked by the same **BC** clock on the same FO board; the phase of all signal transitions is equal. That coincidence is preserved on the LTU board since the signals are transmitted over the same, relatively short cable (not longer than 5m) with a negligible skew.

### 3.14.6 *L0 signal*

In the following text, the extensions <CTP> and <LTU> shall be used to differentiate between the signals generated by the CTP in *global* mode and their equivalents, generated in the *stand-alone* mode by the *CTP emulator*.

In order to minimise the latency, the **L0<CTP>** signal is not re-synchronised on the LTU board: the signal is received (2.25ns), goes through a selector (FPGA, 8ns) and is re-transmitted (1.25ns). If the PCB propagation is added (20cm, 1ns), the *estimate* for the overall delay on the LTU board is **12.5ns**. A selector with a shorter delay could be designed (outside of the FPGA), but it would save only few nanoseconds, at a cost of complications and inflexibility.

An essential requirement for the LTU is to be able to "switch" from *global* to *stand-alone* mode *without any timing re-adjustment* on the board, on the TTCvi or on TTCrx chips in the sub-detector front-end electronics; as far as the timing is concerned, the TTC partition and the front-end electronics always operate in the same way, regardless whether they are controlled by the CTP or by the LTU emulator. In order to make it possible, the transitions of the **L0**<LTU>, generated in *stand-alone* mode by the LTU emulator, must coincide with the transitions of the **L0**<CTP> in *global* mode. That could be best achieved by delaying appropriately the **BC**<LTU> clock in respect to the **BC** input connected to the **CLK1(O)/CLK2(O)** of the TTCex board.

In conclusion: *a programmable delay of the **BC**<LTU> is required on the LTU board*; the delay setting must always be the first tuning operation since it affects further steps; the range and the resolution of the delay setting are to be decided.

When the tuning is done, the relative position of the **BC**<LTU> clock in respect to the transitions of the CTP signals will be very close to the relative position of the **BC**<CTP> clock to the transitions - both the CTP and the emulator outputs are just registered signals. This is likely to simplify the re-synchronisation of the CTP signals on the LTU board (section **3.14.10**), and the tuning of the **A1(I)** input of the TTCex board (section **3.14.7**) and the **ORBIT** (nim/ecl) input of the TTCvi board (section **3.14.8**).

### 3.14.7 *L1 signal*

On the LTU board, the **L1** signal performs two functions:

- it is the **L1** output to the TTC partition (**L1**<TTC>);
- it serves as a strobe (**L1 Strobe**) for the serial **L1 Data.**

The latency of the **L1**<TTC> is critical. There is no need to re-synchronise the signal on the LTU board, the signal is only received and re-transmitted, but it must arrive with a correct phase to the **A1(I)** input of the TTCex board (see **Figure 3.14.2**).

The simplest way of tuning the **L1**<TTC> phase is by "insertion" of a programmable delay into the signal path, but the disadvantage is an "initial delay" (5-8ns) that the available components typically add to the signal latency. Instead, the adopted solution is based on a *selector* with the following inputs:

- **L1**<CTP>,
- *registered* **L1**<CTP>, clocked by a negative edge of the adjusted/delayed **BC**<LTU> (see section **3.14.6**),
- **L1**<LTU>,
- *registered* **L1**<LTU>, clocked by a negative edge of the adjusted/delayed **BC**<LTU>.

In the *global mode*, the **L1**<CTP> is selected; in the *stand-alone mode*, the **L1**<LTU> signal from the emulator is used. If the delay of the **BC**<LTU> is properly set (see section **3.14.6**), the *"registered"* version of a signal is delayed by a half of the clock period (12.5ns).

**Figure 3.14.5** explains the selection procedure. If the transitions of the selected **L1** occur in the "safe region" (blue), the original signal is selected. If they violate the set-up time and the hold-time restriction (pink), the *registered* version is used - the delay of 12.5ns "shifts" the transitions into the safe area (the corresponding delay of the **L1** signal at the output of the TTCrx chip is one **BC** clock interval). An "extension" of 2ns is prudently added to the minimum requirements in order to provide for a possible drift of the **L1** signal

during transmission between the CTP and the LTU and/or the LTU and the TTCex.



**Figure 3.14.5**    Selection of the **A1(I)** input (TTCex board)

The **Ll IN** (0/ecl) input to the TTCvi board is **active low**; the corresponding **A1(I)** input to the TTCex board is **active high**; fortunately, both are the ECL format. In order to remain compatible with both boards (just in case), the *polarity of the* **L1** *output on the LTU board should also be made programmable*.

### 3.14.8 *Orbit signal*

In order to avoid catastrophic consequences of an intermittent jitter between adjacent bunch-crossing intervals, the timing of the **Orbit** signal must comply with the requirements shown in **Figure 3.14.4**. The signal transitions are in phase with the transitions of all the other CTP signals, which makes possible the time adjustment based on a *selector*, similar to the solution adopted for the **L1** signal (section **3.14.7**).

The set-up time and the hold time intervals are referenced to the clock delayed on the TTCvi board (**Figure 3.14.4**); the delay normally provides for the largest margins of the **B1 (I)** input of the TTCex board. The margins are not at all critical (proximity of the TTCvi and the TTCex boards) and could be varied in a rather wide range without any negative consequences. This option adds more flexibility to the selector-based adjustment of the **Orbit** input.

### 3.14.9 *Pre-pulse signal*

The **Pre-pulse** signal, generated by the CTP, or by the LTU emulator, is asserted early in the LHC orbit cycle (the exact time, the corresponding bunch-crossing, is yet to be decided). This enables a wide range of possible settings for the **Pre-pulse** at the output of the TTCrx chip. The precise timing is set by defining the *delay* and the *width* of the corresponding **INHIBIT OUT** (nim/1) signal [11].

The **Pre-pulse** output from the LTU board is connected to the **B-Go IN** (nim/1) input of the TTCvi board; no "synchronisation" is required. The receiver circuit is "*leading edge sensitive*", but the pulse width should remain in the 50-300ns range in order to avoid problems with some earlier versions of the TTCvi board; the minimum set up time in respect to the beginning of the programmed INHIBIT interval is 50ns - 2 BC periods [20].

### 3.14.10 *Re-synchronisation of data and strobe signals*

The **L1 Strobe**, **L1 Data**, **L2 Strobe** and **L2 Data** signals are processed by the sequential logic on the LTU board. Following the tuning of the **L0** signal (section **3.14.6**), the **BC** delay compensates for the phase shift incurred during the transmission and the edges of both the CPT and the emulator signals coincide. The waveform diagram in **Figure 3.14.6** shows that, if the sequential logic is clocked with the negative edge of the delayed **BC** clock, no re-synchronisation adjustment is required and the scheme provides nearly the maximum safety margin. The added delay is of no importance.

**Figure 3.14.6** Re-synchronisation of the CTP signals on the LTU board

### 3.14.11 *Procedure of time-tuning the TTC partition*

*Step 1*: Set the optimum timing for the TTCex input **B1(I)** - see section **3.14.3**.

*Step 2*: Adjust the programmable **BC**<LTU> delay - see section **3.14.6.**

*Step 3*: Select the appropriate **L1** output in order to ensure the correct timing of the TTCex input **A1(I)** - see section **3.14.7.**

*Step 4*: Select the appropriate **Orbit** output in order to ensure the correct timing of the TTCvi input **ORBIT** (in/ecl) - see section **3.14.8.**

The *Step 2* must precede the *Step 3* and *the Step 4;* the *Step 1* must precede the *Step 4.* The adjustment made in the *Step 1* might be altered during the *Step 4* in order to optimise the timing of the **Orbit** signal, but the compliance with the requirement in the *Step 1* must be preserved.

In order to avoid the danger and the inconvenience of breaking and re-making cable connections, necessary to gain access and correctly probe the relevant signals, most of the timing could be adjusted using the LTU monitoring outputs A and B (section **3.13**); with a help of dedicated, user-friendly software, the procedure could be made semi-automatic. A completely automatic approach would require measurements of the phase of a number of signals on the LTU board; also, the setting of the *BC Delay* rotary switch, required in the *Step 1*, can only be done manually (the control processor, on the other hand, is able to read the position of the switch [11]).

Automatic/semi-automatic improvements of the tuning procedure shall be seriously considered (see the next section).

When the final design of the LTU board is complete, a *detailed step-by-step description of the tuning procedure* shall be made available.

### 3.14.12 *Automatic setting of the TTC partition timing - a proposal*

The proposed procedure requires that at least one of the CTP signals can be programmed to generate a pattern similar to the pattern defined for the trigger inputs - continuous square wave with the period of 50ns (see **Figure 3.14.7**). The obvious candidates would be the **L1 Data** or the **L2 Data** signals, since they perform an active function only when "accompanied" with the corresponding **L1/L2 Strobe**. Let's assume that the **L1 Data**<CTP> and the **L1 Data**<LTU> have been provided with such an option.

*Procedure*

*Step 1*: Automatically align the phase of the **L1** Data <CTP> with the phase of the **L1 Data** <LTU>, using a delay-scan of the **BC**<LTU> clock; the procedure and the required hardware are explained later.

*Step 2*: Using a "calibrated" formula, select the appropriate **L1** output - see section **3.14.7**.

*Step 3*: Read the setting of the *BC Delay* switch (TTCvi board) and, using a "calibrated formula", select the appropriate **Orbit** output - see section **3.14.8.**

The *Step 1* automatically aligns transitions of all the CTP signals with their LTU emulator counterparts. The corresponding delay $t_{BC}$ of the **BC**<LTU> clock is a measure of the phase shift between the transitions of the CTP signals and the local **BC** clock (**CLK1(O)/CLK2(O)**, TTCex board). The formulae, used in the *Step 2* and the *Step 3*, are a sum of the $t_{BC}$ and a constant delay, independent of current or any other setting, that accounts for signal propagation through the logic on the board/boards and over the connecting cables. The *constant delay* is predictable, but should be, at some point, "calibrated" by a manual measurement; if the "calibration" is board-dependent and the variations are affecting the tuning procedure, a "data base" should be organised that contains the required parameters.

The "weakest link" in the procedure is the fact that the *BC Delay* (TTCvi board) can only be set manually. The setting provides the correct timing for the **B1 (I)** input of the TTCex board (section **3.14.3**). It is a "local affair", involving only the TTCvi and the TTCex boards; their mutual position and their connections are the same in all the TTC partitions; as a result, the setting of the *BC Delay* switch is expected to be identical on *all* the TTCvi boards. The reading of the setting in the *Step 3* should be considered just as a prudent check.

*Required hardware*

The hardware required to automatically align the transitions of the CTP and the LTU signals is shown in **Figure 3.14.7**. A selector, controlled by the *Test* signal, configures the **L1 Data** registered output into a circuit that counts the **BC** clock and generates the required square wave (**A**, **B**) with the 50ns period; signal transitions have the same phase as the transitions in normal operation. The only additional hardware is the RC low-pass filter and the ADC.



**Figure 3.14.7**  Automatic alignment of the CTP and the LTU signal transitions

The width $t_w$ of the signal **C** is proportional to the overlap between the signals **A** and **B**; the corresponding DC level **D** at the output of the filter is digitised and read by the control processor. The **D** varies between the maximum value *VH/2*, in case of a perfect coincidence of **A** and **B**, and the minimum value *VL*, when the signals do not overlap at all (anti-coincidence); *VH* and *VL* are voltage levels corresponding, respectively, to the logic high and the logic low state of the AND circuit. The input impedance of the filter is too high to cause

any significant distortion of the output level. An 8-bit ADC with a serial output is adequate for the application.

The delay-scan of the **BC** clock is performed to find the delays corresponding to the maximum ($d_{max}$) and the minimum ($d_{min}$) value of the digitised voltage; the real value of the voltage, on the other hand, is irrelevant. Both the $d_{max}$ and the $d_{min}$ provide a correct setting; a shorter delay of the two should be selected. The range of the programmable delay line must exceed 25ns (example: 6-bit programmable delay line with 0.5ns increment).

The proposed operation requires well-developed control software. Following any change of the delay, and before the digitisation is attempted, a waiting interval should be introduced to allow the output of the filter to settle to its final value; 1ms delay should be adequate. The whole procedure of automatic tuning is expected to last less than one second.

A number of tests and a more detailed analysis of the procedure are both required before a credible decision about the feasibility of the proposal could be made.