

Recent results from rare decays

Jeroen van Tilburg (Physikalisches Institut Heidelberg)

Today	's LESSON	: W6 08	WITTEN!	s Doe"	
<u>е</u> , р-	No.	2ª {	NEUTRON ENG STEAMING H DARK MATT	ANSTED	03
ىر) ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰	<u>10</u> <u>n.w.7</u> "s	~Ve- PFERDUPERSYME STRING THE	METRIC	M
	Tal (15ek)	(Z+I) ¹]	-	ê.	

Don't worry about the number of slides: Only half of them is "new"

Recap of last week



What we have learned last week:

- Neutral mesons (K,D,B_d,B_s) mix and oscillate.
 - Beautiful example of (fast) B_s oscillations: $\Delta m_s = 17.77 \pm 0.10(stat) \pm 0.07$ (sys) ps^{-1}
 - Requires good proper time resolution and tagging of B flavour at production.
- There are three types of CP violation:
 - CPV in mixing
 - Small in SM (<1%), only observed so far in kaon decays
 - CPV in decay
 - Difficult to extract weak phases due to unknown strong phases and T/P ratio.
 - CPV in interference between mixing and decay
 - Large effects and clean determination of weak angles possible.
- Example: LHCb's measurement of $sin(2\beta_s)$
 - Measured value: ϕ_s =-0.03 ± 0.16(stat) ± 0.07 (sys)
 - SM value: ϕ_s =-0.036
 - No large phase from new physics...

Probes for New Physics searches



The aim of heavy flavour physics is to study *B* and *D* decays and to look for anomalous effects beyond the Standard Model.

Requirements to look for New Physics effects:

- Should not be ruled out by existing measurements.
- Prediction from SM should be well known.
- These requirements are fulfilled for these processes:
- CP violation
- Rare decays

 \rightarrow CP violation and rare decays of *B* and *D* hadrons are the main focus of LHCb.

Today: Rare decays

Introduction to rare decays



• Flavour changing neutral currents (FCNC) forbidden in SM at tree level.

Suppressed at higher order due to GIM mechanism

- FCNC decays good testing ground for SM.
 - Corresponding decays are always rare (B-mesons < 10⁻⁵)
- New particles can appear as virtual particles in box and penguin diagrams.
 - Indirect searches have a high sensitivity to effects from new particles.
- Good testing ground: $b \rightarrow s$ transitions.
 - B_s oscillations \rightarrow box diagram

•
$$B_s \to \phi \gamma$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \bullet B_{s} \to \phi \ \gamma \\ \bullet B_{d,s} \to \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \\ \bullet B_{d} \to K^{*} \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \end{array} \right\} \to \text{Penguin diagrams}$

•
$$B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$$



Example: Box diagrams (recap)



New particles could enter in the B_s box diagram





Could affect both amplitude and phase: $\Delta m_{s} = \Delta m_{s}^{SM} + \Delta m_{s}^{NP}$ $\phi_{s} = \phi_{s}^{SM} + \phi_{s}^{NP}$



No hints (yet) for new physics in box diagrams, but still some room left.

But there are penguins on the horizon!

© Dr David Thomas, School of Ocean Sciences, UWB

The story about penguins



99

Quoting John Ellis (Wikipedia):

66

Mary K. [Gaillard], Dimitri [Nanopoulos] and I first got interested in what are now called penguin diagrams while we were studying CP violation in the Standard Model in 1976... The penguin name came in 1977, as follows.

In the spring of 1977, Mike Chanowitz, Mary K and I wrote a paper on GUTs predicting the b quark mass before it was found. When it was found a few weeks later, Mary K, Dimitri, Serge Rudaz and I immediately started working on its phenomenology. That summer, there was a student at CERN, Melissa Franklin who is now an experimentalist at Harvard. One evening, she, I, and Serge went to a pub, and she and I started a game of darts. We made a bet that if I lost I had to put the word penguin into my next paper. She actually left the darts game before the end, and was replaced by Serge, who beat me. Nevertheless, I felt obligated to carry out the conditions of the bet.

For some time, it was not clear to me how to get the word into this b quark paper that we were writing at the time. Then, one evening, after working at CERN, I stopped on my way back to my apartment to visit some friends living in Meyrin where I smoked some illegal substance. Later, when I got back to my apartment and continued working on our paper, I had a sudden flash that the famous diagrams look like penguins. So we put the name into our paper, and the rest, as they say, is history.



Rare decays



Just as in the box diagram, new particles can easily enter in the penguin diagram. We can measure branching ratio, polarization, angular distributions. \rightarrow compare with theoretical prediction from SM (if deviation: NP)

No problem to calculate the SM Feynman diagrams for the individual quarks, so what is the problem?



OPE



Theoretical approach: Operator Product Expansion + renormalization group equations





Allows to separate low-energy effects (non-pertubative QCD) and high-energy effects (pertubative QCD + weak interactions + new physics).

Effective Hamiltonian





Renormalization scale (μ) (Unphysical) border between the two regimes \rightarrow for B decays: a few GeV (around b-quark mass)

Energy scales:

New physics	:	$\delta x \sim 1/\Lambda_{ m NP}$)
Electroweak interactions	:	$\delta x \sim 1/M_W$	Wilson coefficients
Short-distance QCD(QED) corrections	:	$\delta x \sim 1/M_W \rightarrow 1/m_b$	
Hadronic effects	:	$\delta x < 1/m_b$	Operators:
			de en constante ferre fester

decay constants, form factors (large theory uncertainties)

Ordering the diagrams





Advanced topics in Particle Physics: LHC physics, 2011

Jeroen van Tilburg

11/38

New physics in $b \rightarrow s$



New physics could show up as:

- Modified Wilson coefficients
 - \rightarrow new particles in the penguin loop
- New operators
 - \rightarrow e.g. right-handed currents

Three interesting channels:

	SM operators	BR (SM)	BR (exp)	@ LHCb
$B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$	$Q_{7\gamma}$	Large theory	(5.7±2.0)x10 ⁻⁵	γ polarisation
$B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$	${\sf Q}_{7}{}^{\gamma}, {\sf Q}_{9}, {\sf Q}_{10}$	O(20%)	(1.05±0.15)x10 ⁻⁶	Angular distributions
$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	Q ₁₀	(3.2±0.2)x10 ⁻⁹	< 1.1x10 ⁻⁹ (95%)	BR

\rightarrow Focus of today

Search for $B_{d,s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$





Spin of $B_{d,s}$ is zero. One lepton needs helicity flip.



Why is the search for $B_{d,s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ most popular? Muons are easiest to reconstruct (taus always give a neutrino)

Search for
$$B_{d,s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$



The decay $B_{d,s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ provides sensitive probe for New Physics

SM diagrams: Only semi-leptonic operator Q_{10} (C_S and C_P are suppressed):



 \rightarrow New physics could modify Wilson coefficients C₁₀, C_S, C_P (or introduce new operators).









Compare BR($B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$)_{SM} = (3.2 ± 0.2)x10⁻⁹



Search for $B_{d.s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



Back to the analysis in LHCb:

Evaluate signal/background in a 2D-space of

- Invariant mass m_{uu}
- MVA classifier BDT combining kinematic and geometrical variables



Search for
$$B_{d,s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$



Expected mass resolution obtained from interpolation of dimuon resonances \rightarrow Procedure verified with B \rightarrow *hh* events





Search for $B_{d.s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

$M_{\rm uu}$ for signal region in 4 bins of BDT





Search for $B_{d,s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$







Search for $B_{d.s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Normalization

The final branching ratio can be calculated as:

$$BR(B_q^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = BR_{cal} \times \frac{\mathcal{E}_{cal}}{\mathcal{E}_{sig}} \times \frac{f_{cal}}{f_{B_q^0}} \frac{N_{B_q^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-}}{N_{cal}} = \alpha_{cal} \times N_{B_q^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-}$$

Three complementary normalization channels with very different systematics:

$$B^{+} \rightarrow J / \psi(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})K^{+}$$
$$B_{s} \rightarrow J / \psi(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})\varphi(K^{+}K^{-})$$
$$B^{0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{-}$$

Advanced topics in Particle Physics: LHC physics, 2011

 \mathcal{B} $\alpha^{cal}_{B_d \to \mu^+ \mu^-} \qquad \alpha^{cal}_{B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-}$ N_{cal} $(\times 10^{-10})$ $(\times 10^{-5})$ $(\times 10^{-9})$ $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+$ 2.58 ± 0.16 6.01 ± 0.21 107358 ± 1759 0.966 ± 0.096 Values for α $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi$ 3.4 ± 0.9 3.39 ± 0.98 1.27 ± 0.35 5919 ± 84 very compatible $B^0 \to K^+ \pi^ 1.94 \pm 0.06$ 2.47 ± 0.57 5732 ± 506 0.92 ± 0.22 Jeroen van Tilburg

20/38



Search for $B_{d,s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$





$B_d \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- K^*$ rare decay in the SM.





 \rightarrow Modifies the angular distributions of the muons.

Generally, angular distributions contain a lot of information.

- Sensitive to SUSY, graviton exchanges, extra dimensions...
- Many observables which probe helicity structure of NP
- Most popular A_{FB} (see next slide)





 $\int \frac{1}{2} \frac{$

 \rightarrow Zero crossing point of $A_{FB}(q^2)$ well predicted in SM

- · Hadronic uncertainties are minimized
- Measures ratio Wilson coefficients C₉/C₇.
- $C_{\gamma\gamma}$ constrained by $B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$ but not its sign.



Previous results from CDF & B-factories show intriguing behaviour at low q^2 : \rightarrow however, precision is limited.







Angular distributions in $B_d \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- K^*$





(most recent CDF result also has negative first bin: arXiv:1108.0695)

Next steps

- Determine zero-crossing point in $A_{FB}(q^2)$
- Include full 2011 data set.
- With > 2 fb⁻¹ do full angular analysis

Cartoon





Concluding slides





What is the minimum you should take home from these 4 lectures?

LHCb detector





The power of indirect searches

GIM Mechanism

Observed branching ratio $K^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu$

$$\frac{BR(K_{L} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{BR(K_{L} \to all)} = (7.2 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-9}$$

In contradiction with theoretical expectation in the 3-Quark Model

Glashow, Iliopolus, Maiani (1970):

Prediction of a 2nd up-type quark, additional Feynman graph cancels the "u box graph".

 $M \sim -\sin \theta_c \cos \theta_c$



 $M \sim \sin \theta_c \cos \theta_c$





C, P and CP in weak interactions





The weak interaction violates C and P maximally. But CP was thought to be a good symmetry, until 1964 when it was experimentally found to be broken.

Where did we see that before?



Escher's (Dutch artist) impression of C, P and CP violation.

Where is the CP violation?

Jeroen van Tilburg

CP violation in the weak interaction



Quarks







CP violation requires complex matrix elements.

Mixing of neutral mesons

B_s meson

5

6

7

8

proper time (ps)

9 10

10

proper time (ps)





The 4 different neutral meson systems have very different mixing properties.

B_s system: very fast mixing

Kaon system: large decay time difference.

Charm system: very slow mixing

Advanced topics in Particle Physics: LHC physics, 2011

Overview: Types of CP violation





FCNC penguin decays

In FCNC decays new particles can enter at same level as SM particles. \rightarrow Sensitive probes for new physics.

Two examples of quantities which can be well-predicted in SM:







It's all about imaginary numbers





Conclusion



LHCb has just collected 1.1 fb⁻¹ of data. Waiting for you to be analysed!

