# QGP Physics – From Fixed Target to LHC

3. Basics of Nucleon-Nucleon and Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions

Klaus Reygers, Kai Schweda Physikalisches Institut Universität Heidelberg SS 2013

## Part I: Nucleon-Nucleon Collisions

### [Regge Theory]

D. Ross, Lecture on Regge theory



Regge theory is based on a generalization of angular momentum to non-integer (complex) values.

The interaction of hadrons is mediated by the exchange of "Regge trajectories". We can think of Regge exchange as the superposition of the exchange of many particles.

The intercepts  $\alpha_{\iota}(t=0)$  of the Regge trajectories are related to the total cross section:

$$\sigma_{\text{total}} \sim \sum_{\text{Regge traj.}} A_i s^{\alpha_i(0)-1}$$

The rise of the total cross section with  $\sqrt{s}$  is explained by the exchange of a trajectory with

 $\alpha(0) \ge 1$ 

### This is the Pomeron.

### Total p+p(pbar) Cross Section



Picture: Barone, Predazzi, High-Energy Particle Diffraction  $(\rightarrow Link)$ 

Above ~  $\sqrt{s}$  = 20 GeV all hadronic cross sections rise with increasing  $\sqrt{s}$ 

Data are in agreement with Pomeranchuk's theorem which states that for hadronic collisions at asymptotic energies the following relation holds:

$$\sigma_{\rm tot}(h+X) = \sigma_{\rm tot}(\bar{h}+X)$$

Useful parameterization inspired by Regge theory:

$$\sigma_{\rm tot} = Xs^{\epsilon} + Ys^{\epsilon'}$$

 $\epsilon = 0.08 - 0.1, \quad \epsilon' \approx -0.45$ 

The first term corresponds to Pomeron exchange, the second to "normal" Regge (Reggeon) exchange

### **Total inelastic Nucleon-Nucleon Cross Section**

Naïve expectation for the total inelastic p+p cross section:

$$\sigma_{\text{geo}} = \pi \cdot b_{\text{max}}^2 = \pi \cdot (2r_{\text{proton}})^2 = \pi \cdot (1.6 \,\text{fm}^2) = 80 \,\text{mb}$$
  
(1b = 10<sup>-28</sup>m<sup>2</sup>, 1 fm<sup>2</sup> = 10<sup>-30</sup> m<sup>2</sup> = 10 mb)





#### From data:

 $\sigma_{\text{inel}} = \sigma_{\text{total}} - \sigma_{\text{elastic}}$ 

√s (GeV)	σ <sub>inel</sub> (p+p)
17.2	≈ 32 mb
200	≈ 42 mb
2760	≈ 63 mb

Total inelastic NN cross section is needed as input for Glauber calculations for A+A

### Diffractive Collisions (I)

(Single) diffraction in p+p: "Droigetile" proton is evolted to a hadro

"Projectile" proton is excited to a hadronic state X with mass M

$$p_{\rm proj} + p_{\rm targ} \to X + p_{\rm targ}$$

The excited state X fragments, giving rise to the production of (a small number) of particles in the forward direction

Theoretical view:

- Diffractive events correspond to the exchange of a Pomeron
- The Pomeron carries the quantum numbers of the vacuum ( $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ )
- Thus, there is no exchange of quantum numbers like color or charge
- In a QCD picture the Pomeron can be considered as a two-gluon state

### **Diffractive Collisions (II)**

A characteristic feature of diffractive collisions are large regions in rapidity in which no particles are found ("rapidity gaps"):



### **Diffractive Collisions (III)**

Data from 1145.

 $\sigma_{\rm tot} = \sigma_{\rm elastic} + \sigma_{\rm inel}$   $\sigma_{\rm inel} = \sigma_{\rm ND} + \sigma_{\rm SD} + \sigma_{\rm DD} + \sigma_{\rm CD}$ 

small, < 1 mb

	UA5, Z. Phys. C33, 175, 1986 ( $\rightarrow$ Link	
$oldsymbol{ ho}+oldsymbol{ar{ ho}}$	√s = 200 GeV	√s = 900 GeV
Total inelastic	(41.8 ± 0.6) mb	(50.3 ± 0.4 ± 1.0) mb
Single-diffractive	(4.8 ± 0.5 ± 0.8 ) mb	(7.8 ± 0.5 ± 1.8 ) mb
Double-diffractive	(3.5 ± 2.2) mb	(4.0 ± 2.5) mb
Non-diffractive	≈ 33.5 mb	≈ 38.5 mb

About 20-25% of the inelastic cross section is due to diffractive processes for  $\sqrt{s} = 200 - 900$  GeV

Expectation for p+p at 14 TeV:  $\sigma_{tot}$  = 102 mb,  $\sigma_{ND}$  = 76 mb,  $\sigma_{SD}$  = 12 mb

(nucl-ex/0701067)

### Average Charged Particle Multiplicity

- Total number of produced charged particles in a p+p collision
  - related to soft processes and hence difficult to calculate from first QCD principles
  - Thus, a large variety of models describing soft particles production exists
  - d*N*/dη measurements at the LHC help constrain models
- History
  - Feynman concluded in the 1970's that for asymptotically large energies the mean total number of produced particles increases as

 $\langle N_{\rm ch} \rangle \propto \ln \sqrt{s}$  (follows from 'Feynman scaling'),

i.e., from 
$$E \frac{d^3 \sigma}{d^3 p} = F(x_F) \cdot F(p_T) \stackrel{!}{=} B \cdot F(p_T), x_F = \frac{p_L^*}{\sqrt{s/2}}$$

• Maximum beam rapidity also scales as  $\ln \sqrt{s}$ , thus Feynman scaling implies

$$dN/dy = \text{constant}$$
 (i.e., independent of  $\sqrt{s}$ )

## $\sqrt{s}$ Dependence of $dN_{ch}/d\eta$



 $dN_{\rm ch}/d\eta$  rises with  $\sqrt{s}$ : This corresponds to a violation of Feynman scaling CMS parameterization:  $dN_{\rm ch,NSD}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 2.716 - 0.307 \ln s + 0.0267 \ln^2 s$ Rise with  $\sqrt{s}$  also nicely described with:  $dN_{\rm ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \propto s^{0.11}$ 

J. F. Grosse-Oetringhaus, K.R., Charged Particle Multiplicity in Proton-Proton Collisions, 2010 ( $\rightarrow$  link)

### **Charged Particle Multiplicity Distributions**



Multiplicity distributions in pp,  $e^+e^-$ , and lepton-hadron collisions well described by a Negative Binomial Distribution (NBD).

However, deviations from the NBD were discovered by UA5 at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 900 GeV and later confirmed at the Tevatron at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 1800 GeV (shoulder structure at

In limited n-intervals (|n| < 0.5) NBD describes the distributions up to 1.8 TeV

> Limits of the NBD:  $k \rightarrow \infty$ : Poisson distribution integer k, k<0: Binomial distribution  $(N = -k, p = -\langle n \rangle /k)$

### Charged Particle Multiplicity in p+p and e+e-: An Interesting Similarity



The increase of  $N_{ch}$  with  $\sqrt{s}$  looks rather similar in p+p and e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>.

Roughly speaking, the energy available for particle production in p+p seems to be ~ 30 - 50%:

$$f(\sqrt{s}) := N_{ch}^{e+e-}(\sqrt{s})$$

$$\rightarrow N_{ch}^{p+p} = f(K\sqrt{s_{pp}}) + n_0$$

A fit yields:  $K \approx 0.35, \ n_0 \approx 2.2$ 

## Similarity of $dN_{ch}/dy$ in $e^+e^-$ , p+p, and A+A

#### PHOBOS, Nucl. Phys. A757, 28 (2005)



e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>: Rapidity w.r.t. thrust axis:

$$y_T^{e^+e^-} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{E + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{n}_{\text{thrust}}}{E - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{n}_{\text{thrust}}} \right)$$

Remarkable similarity between particle production in e++e-, p+p, and A+A

Effective energy fraction  $K \approx 100\%$ in Au+Au

Hint at universal particle production mechanism?

### **Transverse Momentum Spectrum of Charged Particles**



Transverse momentum spectra of charged particles for different  $\sqrt{s}$ :

Small  $p_{\tau}$  (roughly < 2 GeV/*c*):

$$\frac{1}{p_{\rm T}} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_x}{\mathrm{d}p_{\rm T}} \approx A(\sqrt{s}) \cdot e^{-6\,p_{\rm T}}$$

High  $p_{\tau}$ :  $\frac{1}{p_{\mathrm{T}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_x}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} = A(\sqrt{s}) \cdot \frac{1}{p_{\mathrm{T}}^{n(\sqrt{s})}}$ 

Average 
$$p_{\tau}$$
:  
 $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{\rm T} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_x}{\mathrm{d}p_{\rm T}} \mathrm{d}p_{\rm T}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_x}{\mathrm{d}p_{\rm T}} \mathrm{d}p_{\rm T}} \approx 300 - 400 \mathrm{MeV}/c$ 
pretty energy-independent for  $\sqrt{s} < 100 \mathrm{GeV}$ 

 $< p_{\tau} > vs. \sqrt{s}$ 



Increase of  $\langle p_{\tau} \rangle$  with  $\sqrt{s}$  (most likely) reflects increase in particle production from hard parton-parton scattering

 $< p_{\tau} >$  vs.  $N_{ch}$ 



CDF, PRD 65, 072005 (2002) (→ Link)

For  $\sqrt{s} > \sim 60$  GeV the mean transverse momentum rises with  $N_{ch}$ .

The rise is still not fully understood. Multiple hard parton-parton scatterings in the same p+p collision are often used to explain it.

For it to work, however, each new interaction should add proportionately less to the total  $N_{ch}$  than to the total  $p_{\tau}$ .

### $< p_{\tau} >$ for Different Particle Species



 $m_{\tau}$  Scaling



### Stopping in Nucleon-Nucleon Collisions



#### On average, a proton loses about one unit of rapidity ( $\Delta y \approx 1$ ) in an inelastic p+p collision (approximately independent of the initial energy)

### **Classical String Model: History**

- Idea: Hadrons = Quarks connected via a color flux tube ("string"), i.e., a tube which contains the color field lines
- Initially conceived as a fundamental theory of the strong interaction (G. Veneziano, end of the 1960's)
- However, in the beginning of the 1970's QCD became the accepted theory of the strong interaction
- Today: string model for hadrons is a phenomenological model for (soft) particle production
- Interestingly, the mathematical framework of the hadronic string theory developed into today's supersymmetric string theory
  - Elementary particles (quarks and leptons) = vibrating strings
  - Dimensions of the string ~ 10<sup>-35</sup> m (Planck length)

History of string theory:

http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/mbg15/superstrings/superstrings.html ( $\rightarrow$  link)

### Classical String Model: Particle Production via String Breaking (I)



- We consider a string formed by a quark-antiquark pair
- The string can break by producing quark-antiquark pairs in the intense color field
- The basic assumption of the symmetric Lund model is that the vertices at which the quark and the antiquark are produced lie approximately on a curve on constant proper time
- This characteristics leads to a flat rapidity distribution of the produced particles

### Classical String Breaking: String Breaking via Tunneling (I)

In the Lund scheme, quantum mechanical tunneling leads to the q-qbar break-ups:

In terms of the transverse mass of q' the probability that the break-up will occur is:

$$P \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\pi m_{\perp q'}^2}{k}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi p_{\perp q'}^2}{k}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi m_{q'}^2}{k}\right)$$

This leads to a transverse momentum distribution for the quarks of the form:  $\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{quark}}}{\mathrm{d}p_T} = \mathrm{const.} \cdot \exp\left(-\pi p_T^2/k\right) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \sqrt{\langle p_T^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{quark}}} = \sqrt{k/\pi}$ 

For pions (two quarks) one obtains:  $\sqrt{\langle p_T^2 
angle_{
m pion}} = \sqrt{2k/\pi}$ 

# With a string tension of 1 GeV/fm this yields $\langle p_T \rangle_{pion} \approx 0.37$ GeV/c, in agreement with data



## **Classical String Breaking:** String Breaking via Tunneling (II)

The tunneling implies heavy-quark suppression:

 $u\bar{u}: d\bar{d}: s\bar{s}: c\bar{c} \approx 1:1:0.3:10^{-11}$ 

The production of baryons can be modeled by replacing the q-qbar pair by an quark-diquark pair

Collisions of hadrons described as excitation of quark-diquarks strings:





### **Classical String Model: Summary**

- The string model is strongly physically motivated and intuitively compelling
- The string model describes many general features of particle production in collisions
  - Average transverse momentum
  - $\sqrt{s}$  independence of  $\langle p_{\tau} \rangle$  (string breaking is a local process)
  - Shape of the rapidity distribution of the produced particles
- Universal, after fitting to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> data little freedom elsewhere
- But: It has many free parameters, particularly for the flavor sector

See also P. Richardson, Lecture at CTEQ school, 2006 ( $\rightarrow$  link) Torbjörn Sjöstrand ( $\rightarrow$  link)

## Part II: Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions

### Ultra-Relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions: Many Aspects Controlled by Nuclear Geometry

- Ultra-relativistic energies
  - De Broglie wave length much smaller than size of the nucleon
  - Wave character of the nucleon can be neglected for the estimation of the total cross section
- Nucleus-Nucleus collision can be considered as a collision of two black disks

$$R_A \approx r_0 \cdot A^{1/3}, \ r_0 = 1, 2 \,\mathrm{fm}$$

$$\sigma_{\rm inel}^{\rm A+B} \approx \sigma_{\rm geo} \approx \pi r_0^2 (A^{1/3} + B^{1/3})^2$$





### Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions: Landau and Bjorken Scenario



- Landau scenario
  - Complete stopping of the nuclei
  - Initial condition for hydrodynamic expansion

$$V_0 = V_{\rm nucleus}^{\rm rest} / \gamma_{\rm CMS}$$

$$\varepsilon_0 = \sqrt{s}/V$$



- Bjorken scenario
  - transparency
  - flat rapidity distribution

Complete stopping of the nuclei in central collisions up to  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 5 - 10 \text{ GeV}$ , transparency (baryon-free QGP at central rapidities) for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} > \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$ 

# N<sub>part</sub> and N<sub>coll</sub> in Nucleus-Nucleus-Collisions



- Centrality can be described via
  - N<sub>coll</sub>: number of inelastic nucleon-nucleon collisions
  - N<sub>part</sub>: number of nucleons which underwent at least one inelastic nucleonnucleon collisions
- This simplifies the comparison between theory and experiment and between different experiments
- Typically not directly measured but determined from Glauber calculations

### Stopping in A+A Collisions

Brahms, PRL 93:102301, 2004 (→ Link)



Stopping inferred from rapidity distribution of net-baryons (baryons-antibaryons)

$$\langle \delta y \rangle = y_p - \langle y \rangle \qquad \langle y \rangle = \frac{2}{N_{\text{part}}} \int_0^{y_p} y \frac{dN_{B-\bar{B}}}{dy} dy$$

MC generator used to go from the measured net-protons to net-baryons

Average energy per net baryon:  $y_p$ 

$$E = \frac{1}{N_{\text{part}}} \int_{-y_p} \langle m_T \rangle \cosh y \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{B-\bar{B}}}{\mathrm{d}y} \,\mathrm{d}y \approx 27 \pm 6 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$

Thus, the average energy loss of a nucleon in central Au+Au@200GeV is 73 ± 6 GeV

### Particle Multiplicities in p+A (d+A) Collisions

- Proton-nucleon collision
  - Example:

 $N_{\rm coll} = 3, N_{\rm part} = 4$ 

- How do particle multiplicities scale? With  $N_{part}$  or  $N_{coll}$ ?
- **Observation:** Particle multiplicities scale with  $N_{part}$

$$\left\langle N_{ch}^{p+A} \right\rangle \approx \frac{N_{\text{part}}}{2} \left\langle N_{ch}^{p+p} \right\rangle$$

#### (Wounded Nucleon Model)



PHOBOS, Nucl.Phys. A757 (2005) 28-101 (→ link)

200 GeV

30

25

20

200 GeV

30 GeV

# $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}}$ Dependence of the Charged Particle Multiplicity in Central A+A Collisions



From  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}$  = 200 GeV (Au+Au, RHIC) to  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}$  = 2760 GeV (Pb+Pb, LHC) the charged particle multiplicity increases by about a factor 2.2.

#### Stronger increase with $\sqrt{s}$ in central A+A than in p+p

### Charged Particle Pseudorapidity Distributions in Au+Au Collisions at 19.4 and 200 GeV

- Multiplicity increases with centrality
- N<sub>part</sub> scaling only approximately satisfied
- Total charged particles multiplicity in central Au+Au at 200 GeV:

≈ 5000



# Charged Particle Pseudorapidity Distributions in Pb+Pb Collisions at 2760 GeV



## $N_{part}$ Dependence of $dN_{ch}/d\eta$



ALICE: http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.032301 (→ link)

Relative increase of  $N_{ch}$  with centrality independent of  $\sqrt{s}_{NN}$ 

### Transverse Energy



### Transverse Energy (II)



K. Reygers, K. Schweda | QGP physics SS2013 | 3. Basics of nucleon-nucleon and nucleus-nucleus collisions

### Transverse Energy (III)



### Centrality Selection: Fixed-Target Experiment



• Shape of  $E_{\tau}$  and  $E_{ZDC}$  follows from nuclear geometry

• Centrality selection: Cuts on  $E_{\tau}$ ,  $E_{_{7DC}}$  (or charged particle multiplicity)

# Centrality: Correlation between $E_{\tau}$ and $E_{zdc}$



### Space-Time Evolution: Bjorken Model



dN/dv

Velocity of the local system at position *z* at time *t*:

 $\beta = z/t$ 

Proper time T in this system:

$$\tau = t/\gamma = t\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{t^2 - z^2}$$

In the Bjorken model all thermodynamic quantities only depend on  $\tau$ , e.g., the particle density:

$$n(t,z) = n(\tau)$$

This leads to a constant rapidity density of the produced particles (at least at central rapidities):

$$\frac{dN_{ch}}{dy} = \text{const.}$$

Y

### Bjorken's Estimate of the Energy Density



Bjorken formula for the initial energy density:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle m_T \rangle}{A \cdot \tau_0} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}y} \right|_{y=0} = \frac{1}{A \cdot \tau_0} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{d}y} \right|_{y=0}$$

Thermalization time  $\tau_0 = 1 \text{ fm/}c$  (with large uncertainties)

### Bjorken Energy Density from Data



# Estimated energy densities in central A+A collision for CERN SPS and RHIC energies above critical value of $\approx 0.7 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ from lattice QCD

### Bjorken Energy density in central Pb+Pb Collisions at the LHC

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{A \cdot \tau_0} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{d}y} \right|_{y=0} = \frac{1}{A \cdot \tau_0} J(y,\eta) \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{d}\eta} \right|_{\eta=0} \qquad \text{with } J(y,\eta) \approx 1.09$$

Central Pb+Pb collisions ( $b \approx 0$ ):  $A = \pi R_{Pb}^2$  with  $R_{Pb} \approx 7 \,\mathrm{fm}$ 

$$\sim \varepsilon_{\rm LHC} = 14 \,{\rm GeV/fm^3} \approx 2.6 \times \varepsilon_{\rm RHIC} \quad {\rm for} \quad \tau_0 = 1 \,{\rm fm/c}$$

### **Glauber Model: Basic Assumptions**



# Nobel prize in physics 2005 for his contributions to quantum optics

Glauber model for nucleus-nucleus collisions

- Nucleons travel on straight trajectories (after a nucleon-nucleon collisions)
- Nucleon-nucleon cross section is independent of the number of collisions a nucleon underwent before
- Input: density profile of the nucleus and inelastic nucleon-nucleon cross section

Review article: Glauber modeling in high energy nuclear collisions, 2007 ( $\rightarrow$  link)

### **Glauber Model: Nuclear Geometry**

Woods-Saxon nuclear density profile:

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0 \left(1 + wr^2/R^2\right)}{1 + \exp((r - R)/a)}$$



Nucleus	Α	R (fm)	a ( <u>fm</u> )	w
С	12	2.47	0	0
0	16	2.608	0.513	-0.051
AI	27	3.07	0.519	0
S	32	3.458	0.61	0
Ca	40	3.76	0.586	-0.161
Ni	58	4.309	0.516	-0.1308
Cu	63	4.2	0.596	0
W	186	6.51	0.535	0
Au	197	6.38	0.535	0
Pb	208	6.68	0.546	0
U	238	6.68	0.6	0

H. DeVries, C.W. De Jager, C. DeVries, 1987

- Woods-Saxon parameters typically from e<sup>-</sup>-nucleus scattering (sensitive to charge distribution only)
- Difference between neutron and proton distribution small and typically neglected

### Optical Glauber Model: Number of Nucleon-Nucleon Collisions



Nucleon "luminosity" at  $\vec{s}$ :

Nuclear overlap function:

$$dT_{AB}(\vec{s}) = T_A(\vec{s}) \cdot T_B(\vec{s} - \vec{b}) d^2s$$

$$T_{\rm AB}(b) := \int T_{\rm A}(\vec{s}) \cdot T_{\rm B}(\vec{s} - \vec{b}) \,\mathrm{d}^2 s$$

$$\langle N_{\rm coll}(b) \rangle = T_{\rm AB}(b) \cdot \sigma_{\rm inel}^{\rm p+p}$$

### **Optical Glauber Model: Number of Participants**



Probability that a "test nucleon" from nucleus A collides with a certain nucleon from nucleus B:

$$p_{\rm int} = \hat{T}_{\rm B}(\vec{s} - \vec{b}) \cdot \sigma_{\rm inel}^{\rm p+p}$$

Probability that a "test nucleon" from nucleus A collides with none of the B nucleons of nucleus B:

$$(1 - p_{\text{int}})^B = (1 - \hat{T}_B(\vec{s} - \vec{b}) \cdot \sigma_{\text{inel}}^{p+p})^B$$

definition:

 $\hat{T}_{\rm B}(\vec{x}) := T_{\rm B}(\vec{x})/B$ 

Probability that a "test nucleon" undergoes at least one inelastic nucleon-nucleon collision:

$$1 - (1 - \hat{T}_{\mathrm{B}}(\vec{s} - \vec{b}) \cdot \sigma_{\mathrm{inel}}^{\mathrm{p+p}})^{B}$$

Number of participants in nucleus A:

$$\left\langle N_{\text{part}}^{\text{A}}(b) \right\rangle = A \int \hat{T}_{\text{A}}(\vec{s}) \cdot \left(1 - (1 - \hat{T}_{\text{B}}(\vec{s} - \vec{b}) \cdot \sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{p+p}})^B\right) \mathrm{d}^2 s$$

Total mean number of participants for A+B collisions with impact parameter b:

$$\langle N_{\text{part}}(b) \rangle = \langle N_{\text{part}}^{\text{A}}(b) \rangle + \langle N_{\text{part}}^{\text{B}}(b) \rangle$$

### Glauber Model: Monte Carlo Approach (I)

- In practice, most experiments use Glauber Monte Carlo models to determine N<sub>part</sub> and N<sub>coll</sub>
- Nucleons distributed according to Woods-Saxon distribution
- Impact parameter randomly drawn from dσ/db = 2πb
- A collision between two nucleons takes place if their distance *d* in the transverse plane satisfies

$$d \leq \sqrt{\sigma_{\rm inel}^{\rm NN}/\pi}$$



### Glauber Model: C++ Code Snippets (Glauber MC)

#### Glauber MC: Main loop

// produce n\_events Glauber MC collisions
for (int i=0; i< n\_events; i++) {</pre>

```
// sample impact parameter distribution
float b = fImpact->GetRandom();
```

```
// Distribute nucleons according to Woods-Saxon dsitribution
// and displace them by -b/2 and b/2 on the x axis.
// Moreover, set collision counter of each nucleon to zero
Target->DistributeNucleons(-b/2);
Projectile->DistributeNucleons(+b/2);
```

```
int Npart = 0;
int Ncoll = 0;
```

```
for (int ip=0; ip<Projectile->GetMassNumber(); ip++) {
   for (int it=0; it<Target->GetMassNumber(); it++) {
```

```
// squared transverse distance of the nucleons
float dx = Projectile->nucleon[ip].x - Target->nucleon[it].x;
float dy = Projectile->nucleon[ip].y - Target->nucleon[it].y;
float dxy2 = dx*dx + dy*dy;
```

```
// check if there is a nn collision
if (dxy2 < sigma_nn_inel_fm2/pi) {
    Ncoll++;
    if (Projectile->nucleon[ip].ncoll++ == 0) Npart++;
    if (Target->nucleon[it].ncoll++ == 0) Npart++;
    }
}
cout << "Event " << i << ": Npart = " << Npart
        << ", Ncoll = " << Ncoll << endl;</pre>
```

#### Function that distributes nucleons

void nucleus::DistributeNucleons(const float& x\_offset) {

```
// loop over all nucleons
for(int i=0; i<A; i++) {</pre>
```

```
float r = ws->GetRandom(); // radius from Woods-Saxon
float theta = th->GetRandom();
float phi = 2.* pi * gRandom->Rndm();
```

```
// coordinates in local coordinate system
nucl[i].x = r * sin(theta) * cos(phi) + x_offset;
nucl[i].y = r * sin(theta) * sin(phi);
nucl[i].z = r * cos(theta);
```

```
// set collision counter to zero
nucl[i].ncoll = 0;
```

```
}
}
```

}

#### Woods-Saxon Distribution

```
//! Defines Woods-Saxon distribution
void nucleus::DefineShape() {
```

```
// probability distribution for the radius
ws = new TF1("Woods-Saxon","x*x/(1+exp((x-[0])/[1]))",0.,20.);
ws->SetParameter(0, ws_radius);
ws->SetParameter(1, ws_diffuseness);
```

} // event loop

### **Glauber Model: Monte Carlo Approach (II)**

### Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV



 $< N_{part}(b) > and < N_{coll}(b) > from Glauber MC$ 



K. Reygers, K. Schweda | QGP physics SS2013 | 3. Basics of nucleon-nucleon and nucleus-nucleus collisions

# Glauber Model: Impact Parameter Distribution and Total Inelastic Cross Section





Analytic ("optical Glauber"):

$$p_{\text{inel}}^{A+B}(b) = 1 - \exp(-T_{AB}(b) \cdot \sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{NN}})$$
$$\frac{d\sigma}{db} = 2\pi b p_{\text{inel}}^{A+B}(b)$$
$$\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{A+B} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma}{db} db$$

Result:  $\sigma_{\rm inel}^{\rm Au+Au@200GeV} \approx 6.9b$ 

# $< N_{part} > and < N_{coll} > for Experimentally Defined$

### Centrality Classes



Centrality	$dN_{ m ch}/d\eta$	$\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle$
0%-5%	$1601 \pm 60$	$382.8\pm3.1$
5%-10%	$1294 \pm 49$	$329.7\pm4.6$
10%-20%	$966 \pm 37$	$260.5\pm4.4$
20%-30%	$649 \pm 23$	$186.4 \pm 3.9$
30%-40%	$426 \pm 15$	$128.9\pm3.3$
40%-50%	$261 \pm 9$	$85.0 \pm 2.6$
50%-60%	$149 \pm 6$	$52.8 \pm 2.0$
60%-70%	$76 \pm 4$	$30.0 \pm 1.3$
70%-80%	$35 \pm 2$	$15.8 \pm 0.6$

Measured multiplicity distribution described within the Glauber model by assuming a certain centrality dependence for the number of ancestor particles, e.g.

$$N_{\text{ancestors}} = f \cdot N_{\text{part}} + (1 - f) \cdot N_{\text{col}}$$

Each ancestor than "produces" charged particles according to a Negative Binomial Distribution (NBD). The same centrality cuts as used for real data are then applied to the simulated multiplicity in order to obtain  $\langle N_{part} \rangle$  and  $\langle N_{coll} \rangle$  for a given centrality class.

### Points to Take Home

- QCD perturbation theory cannot be used to describe particle production at low  $p_{T}$  ( $\alpha_{r}$  is too large)
- Phenomenology of low-p<sub>T</sub> particle production reasonably well described by the Lund string model (in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> as well as in p+p)
- Centrality in A+A collisions often characterized by N<sub>part</sub> and N<sub>coll</sub> (from Glauber calculations)
- p+A: particle yields scale approximately with N<sub>part</sub>. In A+A this is only a very rough approximation.
- Bjorken's estimate for the initial energy density of the fireball

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{A \cdot \tau_0} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{d}y} \right|_{y=0}$$

- Already in central A+A collisions at CERN SPS energies this estimate yields energy densities above the critical energy density of ε<sub>c</sub> ≈ 0.7 GeV/fm<sup>3</sup> expected for the QGP transition.
- ε<sub>RHIC</sub>(Au+Au, 200 GeV, central) = 5.4 GeV/fm<sup>3</sup>, ε<sub>LHC</sub> ≈ 2.6 · ε<sub>RHIC</sub>

Extra slides

### **Classical String Model: Rotating Strings**



- Quarks considered as massless
- Rotation of the string produces the spin of the hadron

### Classical String Model: Relation between Mass and Angular Momentum (I)

Mass density of the non-rotating string: dM = k dx, k = string tension

Total energy (= mass) of the string:

$$M = 2 \int_{0}^{L} \gamma k \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= 2 \int_{0}^{L} \frac{k \, \mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{1 - (x/L)^2}} = \pi k \, L$$

Angular momentum: dJ = x dp

$$J = 2 \int_{0}^{L} x \beta \gamma k \, dx$$
  
=  $2 \int_{0}^{L} \frac{x^2 / L \, k \, dx}{\sqrt{1 - (x/L)^2}} = k \, L^2 \pi / 2$ 



Resulting relation between mass and angular momentum:

$$J = \frac{1}{2\pi k} M^2$$

### Classical String Model: Relation between Mass and Angular Momentum (II)



Data show the expected relation between angular momentum and mass

Value for string tension:  $k \approx 1 \, {
m GeV/fm}$ 

K. Reygers, K. Schweda | QGP physics SS2013 | 3. Basics of nucleon-nucleon and nucleus-nucleus collisions

### **Classical String Model: Strings in One Dimension**



- Massless quarks, connected by a string
- Linear potential
- Equation of motion:  $dp/dt = \pm k$
- Solution:

$$p = p_0 - k \cdot t$$
$$(\sqrt{s} = 2p_0)$$

Area A of the string in x-t plane is Lorentz invariant:

$$A = s/k^2$$