

Space-time evolution of the Quark Gluon Plasma

Klaus Reygers / Kai Schweda Physikalisches Institut University of Heidelberg

High-energy nucleus-nucleus Collisions



High-Energy Nuclear Collisions







Time \rightarrow

Plot: Steffen A. Bass, Duke University

- 1) Initial condition: 2) System evolves:
- E_{T} production
- -Partonic dof
- -Baryon transfer parton/hadron expansion
- 3) Bulk freeze-out
- hadronic dof
- inel. interactions cease:
 - particle ratios, T_{ch}, µ_B
- elas. interactions cease
 - **Particle spectra**, T_{th} , $<\beta_{T}>$

Space-time evolution



Plot: courtesy of R. Stock.

- **QGP life time** 10 fm/c \approx 3•10⁻²³ s
- thermalization time

 0.2 fm/c ≈ 7•10⁻²⁵ s
 → hydrodynamical
 expansion until freeze-out
 simplest model: only
 longitudinal expansion, 1d
 → Bjorken model

 collision time 2R/γ = 0.005 fm/c
 ≈ 2•10⁻²⁶ s

Outline

- Introduction
- Longitudinal expansion Bjorken picture
- Transverse expansion
 - transverse radial flow
 - transverse elliptic flow v_2
 - higher harmonics, v_3 , v_4 , v_5 , ...
- Hydrodynamical model description
- Summary

Rapidity distribution in A-A





With increasingcollision energy:wider distribution,becomes flatteraround mid-rapidity

Bjorken model





Velocity of the local system at position z at time t:

 $\beta_z = z/t$

Proper time τ in this system:

$$\tau = t/\gamma = t\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$$

 $=\sqrt{t^2-z^2}$

In the Bjorken model all thermodynamic quantities only depend on T, e.g., the particle density:

 $n(t,z) = n(\tau)$

This leads to a constant rapidity density of the produced particles (at least atcentral rapidities):

 $\frac{dN_{ch}}{dy} = \text{const.}$

1d - Bjorken model (I)



The 1D Bjorken model is based on the assumption that dNch/dy ist constant (around mid-rapidity). This means that the central region is invariant under Lorentz transformation. This implies $\beta z = z/t$ and that all thermodynamic quantities depend only on the proper time T

Initial conditions in the Bjorken model:

$$\varepsilon(\tau_0) = \varepsilon_0, \quad u^\mu = \frac{1}{\tau_0}(t, 0, 0, z) = \frac{x^\mu}{\tau_0}$$

Initial energy density

In this case the equations of ideal hydrodynamics simplify to

$$\varepsilon = E/V: \text{ energy density}$$

$$p: \text{ pressure}$$

$$s = S/V: \text{ entropy density}$$

Bjorken model (II)

For an ideal gas of quarks and gluons, i.e., for

 $\varepsilon = 3p, \quad \varepsilon \propto T^4$

This leads to

$$\varepsilon(\tau) = \varepsilon_0 \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^{-4/3}, \quad T(\tau) = T_0 \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^{-1}$$

The temperature drops to the critical temperature at the proper time

$$\tau_c = \tau_0 \left(\frac{T_0}{T_c}\right)$$

And thus the lifetime of the QGP in the Bjorken model is Γ (= 2.3)

$$\Delta \tau_{\rm QGP} = \tau_c - \tau_0 = \tau_0 \left[\left(\frac{T_0}{T_c} \right)^{-1} - 1 \right]$$

QGP lifetime in 1d - Bjorken model $\varepsilon_0 = 11 \,\text{GeV/fm}^3 = 11 \cdot 0.197^3 \,\text{GeV}^4 \quad \text{for } \tau_0 = 1 \,\text{fm/}c$ $1 = \hbar c = 0.197 \,\text{GeV} \cdot \text{fm}$

 $\varepsilon_0 = g_{\text{QGP}} \frac{\pi^2}{30} T^4 \quad \rightarrow \quad T_0 = \left(\frac{30}{\pi^2} \frac{\varepsilon}{g}\right)^{1/4}$

Parameters ΔT_{QGP} $\epsilon_0 \tau = 3 \text{ GeV/fm2}$ 0.84 fm/c

 $\varepsilon_0 \tau = 5 \text{ GeV/fm2} \quad 1.70 \text{ fm/c}$

 $ε_0$ T = 11 GeV/fm2 3.9 fm/c Fixed parameters: Nf = 2, Tc = 170 MeV, T0 = 1 fm/c



Quick estimate for LHC

Bjorken formula: $\varepsilon \cdot \tau_0 = \frac{\langle m_T \rangle}{A} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}y} \right|_{u=0}$

Transverse area in collisions with $b \approx 0$: $A \approx \pi R_{\rm Pb}^2 = \pi (6.62 \,{\rm fm})^2 \approx 140 \,{\rm fm}^2$

Estimate for the mean transverse momentum: $\langle p_T \rangle = 0.66 \,\text{GeV}/c \rightsquigarrow \langle m_T \rangle \approx \sqrt{(0.138 \,\text{GeV})^2 + (0.66 \,\text{GeV})^2} = 0.67 \,\text{GeV}$

Measured charged particle multiplicity:

 $dN_{ch}/d\eta \approx 1601 \pm 60 \quad (5\% \text{ most central})$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}y}\Big|_{y=0} = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{\langle m_T \rangle}\right)^{-1/2} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{ch}}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\Big|_{\eta=0} = 2450 \pm 92$$

1.02 Larger (up to \approx 1.2) if p and K are taken into account

 $\varepsilon \cdot \tau_0 = (11.7 \pm 0.43) \,\text{GeV/fm}^2 \quad (\text{Pb+Pb}@\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \,\text{TeV})$

RHIC:

IHC:

 $\varepsilon \cdot \tau_0 \approx 5 \,\mathrm{GeV/fm^2} \quad (\mathrm{Au} + \mathrm{Au} \otimes \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 0.2 \,\mathrm{TeV})$

Energy density evolution in 1d-Bjorken



 $\tau_0 = 1$ fm/*c* is generally considered as a conservative estimate for the use in the Bjorken formula.

Other estimates yields shorter times (e.g. $\tau_0 = 0.35$ fm/c) resulting in initial energy densities at RHIC of up to 15 GeV/fm³

Transverse Expansion

Transverse radial flow: particle spectra

Particle Spectra*



 Typical mass ordering in inverse slope from **light** π to **heavier** Λ Two-parameter fit describes yields of π, Κ, ρ, Λ • $T_{th} = 90 \pm 10 \text{ MeV}$ • $<\beta_t> = 0.55 \pm 0.08 c$ \Rightarrow Disentangle collective motion from thermal random walk 14/66

Thermal Model + Radial Flow Fit Source: each volume element is assumed to be – in local **thermal equilibrium**: T_{fo}

boosted in transverse radial direction: $\rho = f(\beta_s)$

E.Schnedermann, J.Sollfrank, and U.Heinz, Phys. Rev. C48, 2462(1993).

$$E\frac{d^{3}N}{dp^{3}} \propto \int_{\sigma} e^{-(u^{\mu}p_{\mu})/T_{fo}} p d\sigma_{\mu} \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{dN}{m_T dm_T} \propto \int_0^R r dr m_T K_1 \left(\frac{m_T \cosh \rho}{T_{fo}}\right) I_0 \left(\frac{p_T \sinh \rho}{T_{fo}}\right)$$
$$\rho = \tanh^{-1} \beta_T \qquad \beta_T = \beta_S \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\alpha \qquad \alpha = 0.5, \ 1,$$

boosted random

(anti-)Protons From RHIC Au+Au@130GeV



Centrality dependence:

- **spectra** at low momentum de-populated, become **flatter** at larger momentum

ü stronger **collective flow** in more central collisions, $\langle \bigotimes_t \rangle = 0.55 \pm 0.08$

Kinetic Freeze-out at RHIC



STAR Data: Nucl. Phys. A757, (2005 102),

*A. Baran, W. Broniowski and W. Florkowski, Acta. Phys. Polon. B 35 (2004) 779.

0) T and β_T are anticorrelated

Multi-strange hadrons

 φ and Ω freeze-out
 earlier than (π, K, p)
 ü Collectivity prior to
 hadronization

 2) Sudden single freezeout*:
 Resonance decays lower T_{fo}
 for (π, K, p)
 ü Collectivity prior to hadronization

ü Partonic Collectivity ?

^{17/66}

LHC: Identified particle spectra



Spectra harder at LHC

⇒stronger collective
flow at LHC than at
RHIC

Collective expansion



Blast wave parametrization **describes spectra** at **10% level** Collective **flow velocity increases** from RHIC to LHC by **10%** 19/66

Collective Flow - Energy Dependence



Collectivity parameters $<\beta_{\tau}>$ and <v₂> increase with collision energy strong collective expansion at RHIC ! $<\beta_{T}>RHIC > 0.6$ expected strong partonic expansion at LHC, $<\beta_{T}>$ LHC ü 0.8, T_{fo} ü T_{ch}

K.S., ISMD07, arXiv:0801.1436 [nucl-ex].

Lesson I

• At LHC, Initial energy density approx. 50 GeV/fm³

• much larger than critical energy density $\varepsilon_c = 0.7 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$

- Strong collective expansion, $<\beta_T> = 0.6 0.7$ at highest collider energies
- Particles carrying **strange quarks** show that **collective expansion** develops **before hadronization**, $<\beta_T> = 0.3 - 0.4$ – among quarks and gluons (?)

Transverse Expansion

Transverse elliptic flow: event anisotropy

Anisotropy Parameter v₂

coordinate-space-anisotropy ü momentum-space-anisotropy

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle y^2 - x^2 \rangle}{\langle y^2 + x^2 \rangle} \qquad v_2 = \langle \cos 2\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi = \tan^{-1}(\frac{p_y}{p_x})$$

Initial/final conditions, EoS, degrees of freedom

v₂ in the low-p_T Region



- v_2 approx. linear in p_T , mass ordering from light π to heavier Λ - characteristic of hydrodynamic flow, sensitive to EOS !

Elliptic flow in ALICE



ALICE, submitted for publication, arXiv:1011.3914 [nucl-ex].

 $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ > ~ 4 GeV:initial excentricity leads to pressure gradients that cause positive v₂

 $2 < \sqrt{s_{NN}} < 4$ GeV:velocity of the nuclei is small so that presence of spectator matter inhibits in-plane particle emission ("squeeze-out")

 $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ < 2 GeV:rotation of the</td>collision system leads tofragments being emitted in-plane25/66

Non-ideal Hydro-dynamics



M.Luzum and R. Romatschke, PRC 78 034915 (2008); P. Romatschke, arXiv:0902.3663.

 Spectra and flow reproduced by ideal hydrodynamics calcs.

 Shear viscosity to entropy density ratio close to AdS/CFT bound

 viscosity leads to decrease in v₂, ultralow viscosity sufficient to describe data

• Hydro-limit exceeded at LHC ?

 $f(\varphi) = 1 + 2v_n \cos(n\varphi)$





Can there be v₃?



figs.: courtesy of M. Luzum.

- reaction plane ü participant plane
- fluctuating initial state is seed for v₃
 ü can CGC be challenged ?



higher harmonics

- extract power spectrum of v_n,
 like Planck*
- higher harmonics
- odd harmonics important
- v_3 : access η/s
- Higher harmonics strongly
 damped (v_{n, n>10} = 0)

STAR, arXiv:1301.2187 [nucl-ex];
STAR, PRL 92 (2004) 062301;
A.Mocsy and P. Sorensen, NPA 855 (2011) 241;
B. Alver and G. Roland, PRC 81 (2010) 054904;
Planck data: EAS and the Planck collaboration
QGP plot: B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, arXiV:1109.6289.







Lesson II

- Geometrical anisotropy is seed for elliptic flow v₂
- Elliptic flow v₂ sensitive to QGP equation of state
- Triangular flow v_3 due to fluctuations, e.g. in initial energy density
- Triangular flow v_3 especially sensitive to shear viscosity /entropy density ratio
- Higher harmonics V₄, V₅, ... strongly damped

Hydrodynamical model description

Some basic concepts

Relativistic Hydrodynamics (I)

The energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the four-momentum component in the μ direction per three-dimensional surface area perpendicular to the v direction.

$$\begin{split} \Delta \mathbf{p} &= (\Delta E, \Delta p_x, \Delta p_y, \Delta p_z) \\ \Delta \mathbf{x} &= (\Delta t, \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z) \\ \mu &= \nu = 0 : \ T_R^{00} = \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta x \Delta y \Delta z} = \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta V} = \varepsilon \\ \mu &= \nu = 1 : \ T_R^{11} = \frac{\Delta p_x}{\Delta t \Delta y \Delta z} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{force in} \\ \Delta y \ \Delta z \ p_{\text{pressure}} \end{array}$$

force in x direction acting on an surface $\Delta y \Delta z$ perpendicular to the force \rightarrow pressure



Relativistic Hydrodynamics (II)

Isotropy in the fluid rest implies that the energy flux T^{0j} and the momentum density T^{j0} vanish and that $\Pi^{ij} = P \, \delta_{ij}$



Off-diagonal elements ≠ 0 in case of viscous
hydrodynamics, not considered here
→ ideal (perfect) fluid.

See also Ollitrault, arXiv:0708.2433.

Relativistic Hydrodynamics (III)

Energy-momentum tensor (in case of local thermalization) after Lorentz transformation the lab frame:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + P) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - P g^{\mu\nu}$$

Energy density
and pressure in
the co-moving system

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + P) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - P g^{\mu\nu}$$
metric tensor diag(1,-1,-1,-1)
metric tensor diag(1,-1,-1,-1)

$$T^{\mu\nu} = dx^{\mu}/d\tau$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = dx^{\mu}/d\tau$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + P) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - P g^{\mu\nu}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = dx^{\mu}/d\tau$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + P) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - P g^{\mu\nu}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = dx^{\mu}/d\tau$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + P) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - P g^{\mu\nu}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = dx^{\mu}/d\tau$$

Energy and momentum conservation:

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, 3$$

in components:
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varepsilon + \vec{\nabla}\vec{j}_{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ (energy conservation)} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{i} + \nabla_{j}\Pi_{ij} = 0 \text{ (momentum conservation)} \end{cases}$$

Conserved quantities, e.g., baryon number: $j_B^{\mu}(x) = n_B(x) u^{\mu}(x), \qquad \partial_{\mu} j_B^{\mu}(x) = 0 \iff \frac{\partial}{\partial t} N_{\rm B} + \vec{\nabla} (N_{\rm B} \vec{v}) = 0$ continuity equation $N_{\rm B} = \gamma n_{\rm B}$

Ingredients of Hydro - models

- Equation of motion and baryon number conservation: $\partial_\mu T^{\mu
 u}=0, \quad \partial_\mu j^\mu_{
 m B}(x)=0$
- 5 equations for 6 unknowns: $(u_x, u_y, u_z, arepsilon, P, n_{
 m B})$
- Equation of state: $P(arepsilon, n_{
 m B})$
- (needed to close the system)
- Initial conditions,
 - e.g., from Glauber calculation
- Freeze-out condition



EOS I: ultra-relativistic gas $P = \epsilon/3$ EOS H: resonance gas, $P \approx 0.15 \epsilon$ EOS Q: phase transition, QGP resonance gas 35/66

LHC: Identified particle spectra



Initial conditions fixed by pion abundance

Protons overestimated

Annihilation of protons and anti-protons in the hadron phase ?

Elliptic flow in Hydro - models

Au+Au at b = 7 fm



 $5.6 \text{ fm}/c (\epsilon_x = 0.067, \epsilon_p = 0.147)$

Elliptic flow is "selfquenching": The cause of elliptic flow, the initial spacial anisotropy, decreases as the momentum anisotropy increases

Anisotropy in momentum space



Ulrich Heinz, Peter Kolb, arXiv:nucl-th/0305084

In hydrodynamic models the momentum anisotropy develops in the early (QGP)phase of the collision. Thermalization times of less then 1 fm/c are needed to describe the data. 38/66

Cold atomic gases

200 000 Li-6 atoms in an highly anisotropic trap (aspect ratio 29:1) Very strong interactions between atoms (Feshbach resonance) Once the atoms are released the one observed a flow pattern similar to elliptic flow in heavyion collisions



Lesson III

- First results from ALICE show large increase in energy
- density (factor 2-3 compared to RHIC)
- longer life-time of qgp
- larger collective flow effects
- anisotropic flow comparable to ultra-low viscosity
- triangular flow sensitive to initial energy density fluctuations and viscosity/entropy ratio
- Hydrodynamical model provides framework to characeterize
 QGP, i.e. equation of state, viscosity/entropy ratio