HighRR Hands-on Course: Particle Identification with tensorflow

Introduction: Multivariate Analysis and Machine Learning

https://www.physi.uni-heidelberg.de/~reygers/lectures/2018/highrr/highrr_hands_on_intro_mva_ml.pdf

Klaus Reygers

22.5.2018

Physikalisches Institut Heidelberg University

Contents

- **1.** Quick tour of methods and applications
- **2.** A selection of methods for multivariate classification
- **3.** More on neural networks

1. Quick tour of methods and applications

2. A selection of methods for multivariate classification

3. More on neural networks

Multivariate analysis: An early example from particle physics

G. Cowan, [Lecture on Statistical data analysis](https://www.pp.rhul.ac.uk/~cowan/stat/stat_6.pdf)

Signal: e+e[−] → W+W[−]

Background: e+e− → qqgg often 4 well separated hadron jets

4 less well separated hadron jets

← input variables based on jet structure, event shape, ... none by itself gives much separation.

Neural network output:

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 4

Machine learning

"Machine learning is the subfield of computer science that gives computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed" – Wikipedia

```
Write a computer program
with explicit rules to follow
if email contains V!agrå
  then mark is-spam;
if email contains ...
if email contains ...
```
Traditional Programming

[J. Mayes, Machine learning 101](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1kSuQyW5DTnkVaZEjGYCkfOxvzCqGEFzWBy4e9Uedd9k/preview?imm_mid=0f9b7e&cmp=em-data-na-na-newsltr_20171213&slide=id.g168a3288f7_0_58)

Write a computer program to learn from examples try to classify some emails; change self to reduce errors; repeat;

Machine Learning Programs

Deep learning

"deep" in deep learning: artificial neural nets with multiple layers of nonlinear processing units for feature extraction

[J. Mayes, Machine learning 101](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1kSuQyW5DTnkVaZEjGYCkfOxvzCqGEFzWBy4e9Uedd9k/preview?imm_mid=0f9b7e&cmp=em-data-na-na-newsltr_20171213&slide=id.g168a3288f7_0_58)

Machine learning: The "hello world" problem

Recognition of handwritten digits

- ‣ MNIST database (Modified National Institute of Standards and Technology database)
- ‣ 60,000 training images and 10,000 testing images labeled with correct answer
- ▶ 28 pixel x 28 pixel
- ‣ Algorithms have reached "nearhuman performance"
- ‣ Smallest error rate (2018): 0.18% https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MNIST_database

Machine learning: Image recognition

ImageNet database

- ‣ 14 million images, 22,000 categories
- ‣ Since 2010, the annual ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC): 1.4 million images, 1000 categories
- ▶ In 2017, 29 of 38 competing teams got less than 5% wrong

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ImageNet

https://www.tensorflow.org/tutorials/image_recognition

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 8

ImageNet: Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge

Error rate:

O. Russakovsky et al, arXiv: 1409.0575

Further examples (I)

Further examples (II): Image captioning a descriptive sentence sentence sentence sentence sentence sentence sentence sentence sentence

[Lebret, Pinheiro, Collobert 2015][Kulkarni 11][Mitchell 12][Vinyals 14][Mao 14]

A man riding skis on a snow covered ski slope. NP: a man, skis, the snow, a person, a woman, a snow covered slope, a slope, a snowboard, a skier, man. VP: wearing, riding, holding, standing on, skiing down. PP: on, in, of, with, down. A man wearing skis on the snow.

A slice of pizza sitting on top of a white plate. NP: a plate, a white plate, a table, pizza, it, a pizza, food, a sandwich, top, a close. VP: topped with, has, is, sitting on, is on. PP: of, on, with, in, up. A table with a plate of pizza on a white plate.

A man is doing skateboard tricks on a ramp. NP: a skateboard, a man, a trick, his skateboard, the air, a skateboarder, a ramp, a skate board, a person, a woman. VP: doing, riding, is doing, performing, flying through. PP: on, of, in, at, with.

A man riding a skateboard on a ramp.

A baseball player swinging a bat on a field. NP: the ball, a game, a baseball player, a man, a tennis court, a ball, home plate, a baseball game, a batter, a field. VP: swinging, to hit, playing, holding, is swinging. PP: on, during, in, at, of. A baseball player swinging a bat on a baseball field.

The girl with blue hair stands under the umbrella. NP: a woman, an umbrella, a man, a person, a girl, umbrellas, that, a little girl, a cell phone. VP: holding, wearing, is holding, holds, carrying. PP: with, on, of, in, under. A woman is holding an umbrella.

A bunch of kites flying in the sky on the beach. NP: the beach, a beach, a kite, kites, the ocean, the water, the sky, people, a sandy beach, a group. VP: flying, flies, is flying, flying in, are. PP: on, of, with, in, at. People flying kites on the beach.

Three types of learning

Reinforcement learning

- ‣ The machine ("the agent") predicts a scalar reward given once in a while
- ‣ Weak feedback

LeCun 2018, Power And Limits of Deep Learning, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0tEhw5t6rhc

arXiv:1312.5602

Supervised learning

- The machine predicts a category based on labeled training data
- Medium feedback

Unsupervised learning

- Describe/find hidden structure from "unlabeled" data
- ‣ Cluster data in different sub-groups with similar properties

Aurélien Géron, Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn and TensorFlow

Example: anomaly detection Feature 1

Material

Multivariate analysis

- Pushpalatha C. Bhat, [Multivariate Analysis Methods in Particle Physics](https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev.nucl.012809.104427)
- Lecture "Statistical Methods in Particle physics" (WS 2017/18)
	- **‣** https://www.physi.uni-heidelberg.de/~reygers/lectures/2017/smipp/ stat_methods_ss2017_08_multivariate_analysis.pdf

Machine learning

- Ian Goodfellow and Yoshua Bengio and Aaron Courville, Deep Learning, <http://www.deeplearningbook.org/>
- Aurélien Géron, Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn and **TensorFlow**
- **■** <http://cs231n.stanford.edu/slides>
- **■** Michael Nielsen, <https://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com/>
- CERN academic training lecture: Michael Aaron Kagan, Machine learning, https://indico.cern.ch/event/619370/

Contents

1. Quick tour of methods and applications

2. A selection of methods for multivariate classification

3. More on neural networks

Multivariate Classification

Consider events which can be either signal or background events.

Each event is characterized by *n* observables:

 $\vec{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ "feature vector"

Goal: classify events as signal or background in an optimal way.

This is usually done by mapping the feature vector to a single variable, i.e., to scalar "test statistic":

$$
\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}:\quad y(\vec x)
$$

A cut *y* > *c* to classify events as signal corresponds to selecting a potentially complicated hyper-surface in feature space. In general superior to classical "rectangular" cuts on the *x*i.

Classification: Different Approaches

k-Nearest-Neighbor, Boosted Decision Trees, Multi-Layer Perceptrons, Support Vector Machines

…

G. Cowan': https://www.pp.rhul.ac.uk/~cowan/stat_course.html

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 16

Hypothesis testing 124 Hypothesis Tests Tests

 $\sigma_2 \equiv \alpha$ **Thackground efficiency"** i.e. prob. to misclassify beka as $\beta = \alpha$ background chiclency, i.e., prob. to modiate $\epsilon_{\text{B}} \equiv \alpha$ "background efficiency", i.e., prob. to misclassify bckg. as signal

 $\epsilon_S \equiv 1 - \beta$ "signal efficiency"

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 17

Neyman–Pearson Lemma

The likelihood ratio

$$
t(\vec{x}) = \frac{f(\vec{x}|H_1)}{f(\vec{x}|H_0)}
$$
 H_1 : signal hypothesis
 H_0 : background hypothesis

is an optimal test statistic, i.e., it provides highest "signal efficiency" $1 - \beta$ for a given "background efficiency" α.

Accept hypothesis if t

$$
(\vec{x}) = \frac{f(\vec{x}|H_1)}{f(\vec{x}|H_0)} > c
$$

Problem: the underlying pdf's are almost never known explicitly.

Two approaches:

- **1.** Estimate signal and background pdf's and construct test statistic based on Neyman-Pearson lemma
- **2.** Decision boundaries determined directly without approximating the pdf's (linear discriminants, decision trees, neural networks, …)

Estimating PDFs from Histograms?

Consider 2d example:

approximate PDF by $N(x, y|S)$ and $N(x, y|B)$

M bins per variable in *d* dimensions: M^d cells

→ hard to generate enough training data (often not practical for *d* > 1)

with machine learning, problems related to a large number of **not problems** is a little for \mathbb{R}^n In general in machine learning, problems related to a large number of dimensions of the feature space are referred to as the "curse of dimensionality"

ROC Curve

Quality of the classification can be characterized by the *receiver operating characteristic* (ROC curve) charactoristic (POC curvo)

Methods discussed in the following slides

Methods based on Neyman-Pearson lemma

- ‣ Naïve Bayesian classifier
- ‣ *k*-Nearest Neighbor
- Other methods
	- ‣ Fisher's linear discriminant
	- ▶ Feedforward Neural Network
	- ▶ Boosted decision trees

Naïve Bayesian classifier (also called "Projected likelihood classification")

Application of the Neyman-Pearson lemma (ignoring correlations between the *xi*):

> . . .

$$
f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \text{ approximated as } L = f_1(x_1) \cdot f_2(x_2) \cdot ... \cdot f_n(x_n)
$$

where $f_1(x_1) = \int dx_2 dx_3 ... dx_n f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$
 $f_2(x_2) = \int dx_1 dx_3 ... dx_n f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$

Classification of feature vector \vec{x} :

$$
y(\vec{x}) = \frac{L_s(\vec{x})}{L_s(\vec{x}) + L_b(\vec{x})} = \frac{1}{1 + L_b(\vec{x})/L_s(\vec{x})}
$$

Performance not optimal if true PDF does not factorize

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 23

Example: Electron ID with the ALICE TRD (II)

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 24 *e|Q* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

k-Nearest Neighbor Method (I)

k-NN classifier

- ‣ Estimates probability density around the input vector
- \rightarrow $p(\vec{x}|S)$ and $p(\vec{x}|B)$ are approximated by the number of signal and background events in the training sample that lie in a small volume around the point \bar{x}

Algorithms finds *k* nearest neighbors:

$$
k=k_s+k_b
$$

Probability for the event to be of signal type:

$$
p_{s}(\vec{x}) = \frac{k_{s}(\vec{x})}{k_{s}(\vec{x}) + k_{b}(\vec{x})}
$$

k-Nearest Neighbor Method (II) $\| \cdot \|$

Simplest choice for distance measure in feature space is the Euclidean distance:

 $R = |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|$

Better: take correlations between variables into account:

$$
R = \sqrt{(\vec{x} - \vec{y})^T V^{-1} (\vec{x} - \vec{y})}
$$

 $V =$ covariance matrix

"Mahalanobis distance"

 \overline{a}

Figure 14: Example for the k-nearest neighbour algorithm in a three-dimensional space (i.e., for three discriminations discriminations in the temperatures.
The three plots are projections upon the two-dimensional coordinate planes. The full control operation circles are the signal (background) events. The k-NN algorithm searches for 20 nearest points. The k-NN algorithm searches for 20 nearest points. The k-NN algorithm searches for 20 nearest points The *k*-NN classifier has best performance when the boundary that separates signal and background events has irregular features that cannot be easily approximated by parametric learning methods.

Fisher Linear Discriminant

Linear discriminant is simple. Can still be optimal if amount of training data is
Iimited limited.

Ansatz for test statistic: *y*(~ *x*) = X *n i*=1 *wⁱ xⁱ* = *w* ~ ^T~ *x* Ansatz: *y ^x*=∑ *i*=1 *n wi xi* = *w T x*

Choose parameters *wi* so that separation between signal and background distribution is maximum. Choose the parameters *w*1, ..., w*n* so that the pdfs and motors *w*/ so that soparation botwe $f(y|s)$, $f(y|b)$

Need to define "separation".

Fisher: maximize
$$
J(\vec{w}) = \frac{(\tau_s - \tau_b)^2}{\Sigma_s^2 + \Sigma_b^2}
$$

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 27

Fisher Linear Discriminant: Determining the Coefficients *wi*

Coefficients are obtained from:

@*J* ∂w_i $= 0$

Linear decision boundaries

Weight vector \vec{w} can be interpreted as a direction in feature space on which the events are projected.

G. Cowan': https://www.pp.rhul.ac.uk/~cowan/stat_course.html

linear decision boundary

Supervised Machine Learning (I)

Supervised Machine Learning requires *labeled training data*, i.e., a training sample where for each event it is known whether it is a signal or background event

‣ Decision boundary defined by minimizing a loss function ("training")

Bias-variance tradeoff

- ‣ Classifiers with a small number of degrees of freedom are less prone to statistical fluctuations: different training samples would result in a similar classification boundaries ("small variance")
- ‣ However, if the data contain features that a model with few degrees of freedom cannot describe, a *bias* is introduced. In this case a classifier with more degrees of freedom would be better.
- ‣ User has to find a good balance

Supervised Machine Learning (II)

Training, validation, and test sample

- ‣ Decision boundary fixed with *training sample*
- ▶ Performance on training sample becomes better with more iterations
- ‣ Danger of *overtraining*: Statistical fluctuations of the training sample will be learnt
- ‣ *Validation sample* = independent labeled data set not used for training \rightarrow check for overtraining
- ‣ Sign of overtraining: performance on validation sample becomes worse \rightarrow Stop training when signs of overtraining are observed ("early stopping")
- ‣ Performance: apply classifier to independent *test sample*
- \triangleright Often: test sample = validation sample (only small bias)

Supervised Machine Learning (III)

Rule of thumb if training data not expensive

- **Figure 1** Training sample: 50%
- Validation sample: 25%
- ‣ Test sample: 25%

often test sample = validation sample, i.e., training : validation/test = 50:50

Cross validation (efficient use of scarce training data)

- ‣ Split training sample in *k* independent subset T_k of the full sample T
- ‣ Train on *T* \ *Tk* resulting in *k* different classifiers
- ‣ For each training event there is one classifier that didn't use this event for training
- Validation results are then combined

Perceptron

Discriminant: *y*(

$$
\vec{x}) = h \left(w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \right)
$$

Rosenblatt, 1957

The nonlinear, monotonic function *h* is called *activation function*.

original perceptron: activation function = step function

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 32

Feedforward Neural Network with One Hidden Layer

superscripts indicates layer number $\phi_i(\vec{x}) = h$ $\sqrt{2}$ $w_{i0}^{(1)} + \sum$ *n* $w_{ij}^{(1)}x_j$ \setminus A

j=1

 $y(\vec{x}) = h$ $\sqrt{2}$ $w_{10}^{(2)} + \sum$ *m j*=1 $w_{1j}^{(2)}\phi_j(\vec{x})$ \setminus A

hidden layer

Straightforward to generalize to multiple hidden layers

Network Training

 \vec{x}_a : training event, $a = 1, ..., N$ *t^a* : correct label for training event *a* e.g., *ta* = 1, 0 for signal and background, respectively

 \vec{w} : vector containing all weights

Loss function (example):

$$
E(\vec{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N} (y(\vec{x}_a, \vec{w}) - t_a)^2 = \sum_{a=1}^{N} E_a(\vec{w})
$$

Weights are determined by minimizing the loss function (also called error function)

Back-propagation (I)

Start with an initial guess $\vec{w}^{(0)}$ for the weights an then update weights after each training event:

Gradient descenti Gradient descent:

Back-propagation (II)

Let's write network output as follows:

$$
y(\vec{x}) = h(u(\vec{x})) \text{ with } u(\vec{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} w_{1j}^{(2)} \phi_j(\vec{x}), \ \phi_j(\vec{x}) = h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{jk}^{(1)} x_k\right) \equiv h(v_j(\vec{x}))
$$

Here we defined $\phi_0 = x_0 = 1$ and the sums start from 0 to include the offsets.

Weights from hidden layer to output:

$$
E_a = \frac{1}{2}(y_a - t_a)^2 \rightarrow \frac{\partial E_a}{\partial w_{1j}^{(2)}} = (y_a - t_a)h'(u(\vec{x}_a))\frac{\partial u}{\partial w_{1j}^{(2)}} = (y_a - t_a)h'(u(\vec{x}_a))\phi_j(\vec{x}_a)
$$

Further application of the chain rule gives weights from input to hidden layer.

Neural Network Output and Decision Boundaries

P. Bhat, Multivariate Analysis Methods in Particle Physics, inspirehep.net/record/879273

Example of Overtraining

Too many neurons/layers make a neural network too flexible \rightarrow overtraining

Network "learns" features that are merely statistical fluctuations in the training sample

Monitoring Overtraining

G. Cowan: https://www.pp.rhul.ac.uk/~cowan/stat_course.html

Monitor fraction of misclassified events (or loss function:)

Deep Neural Networks

Deep networks: many hidden layers with large number of neurons

Challenges

- ‣ Hard too train ("vanishing gradient problem")
- **Figure 1** Training slow
- ‣ Risk of overtraining

Big progress in recent years

- Interest in NN waned before ca. 2006
- ‣ Milestone: paper by G. Hinton (2006): "learning for deep belief nets"
- Image recognition, AlphaGo, ...
- Soon: self-driving cars, ...

http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com

Fun with Neural Nets in the Browser

http://playground.tensorflow.org

Decision Trees (I)

MiniBooNE Detector

MiniBooNE: 1520 photomultiplier signals, goal: separation of ν^e from νμ events

leaf node (no further branching)

Leaf nodes classify events as either signal or background

Decision Trees (II)

Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 61 (2011) 281-309

Easy to interpret and visualize: <u>rnrat and vieualiza</u>

Space of feature vectors split up into rectangular volumes (attributed to either signal or background) $\begin{array}{ccc} \hbox{R} & \hbox{C} & \hbox{C} \\ \hbox{C} & \hbox{C} & \hbox{C} \\ \hbox{D} & \hbox{D} & \hbox{D} \end{array}$ - Independent
- UB
- UB Heidelberg

How to build a decision tree in an optimal way? **V Variable**

Finding Optimal Cuts

Separation btw. signal and background is often measured with the *Gini index*:

$$
G=p(1-p)
$$

Here *p* is the purity:

$$
p = \frac{\sum_{\text{signal}} w_i}{\sum_{\text{signal}} w_i + \sum_{\text{background}} w_i}
$$

 w_i = weight of event *i*

[usefulness of weights will become apparent soon]

Improvement in signal/background separation after splitting a set A into two sets B and C:

$$
\Delta = W_A G_A - W_B G_B - W_C G_C \quad \text{where} \quad W_X = \sum_X w_i
$$

Boosted Decision Trees: Idea

Drawback of decisions trees: very sensitive to statistical fluctuations in training sample

Solution: boosting

- ‣ One tree → several trees ("*forrest*")
- Trees are derived from the same training ensemble by reweighting events
- ‣ Individual trees are then combined: weighted average of individual trees

Boosting is a general method of combining a set of classifiers (not necessarily decisions trees) into a new, more stable classifier with smaller error.

Popular example: AdaBoost (Freund, Schapire, 1997)

Boosted Decision Trees: Idea

Weight is increased if event was misclassified by the previous classifier

 \rightarrow "Next classifier should pay more attention to misclassified events"

H. Voss, Lecture: Graduierten-Kolleg, <http://tmva.sourceforge.net/talks.shtml>

General Remarks on Multi-Variate Analyses

MVA Methods

- ‣ More effective than classic cut-based analyses
- ‣ Take correlations of input variables into account

Important: find good input variables for MVA methods

- ‣ Good separation power between S and B
- ‣ Little correlations among variables
- ‣ No correlation with the parameters you try to measure in your signal sample!

Pre-processing

- ‣ Apply obvious variable transformations and let MVA method do the rest
- ‣ Make use of obvious symmetries: if e.g. a particle production process is symmetric in polar angle θ use |cos θ| and not cos θ as input variable
- ‣ It is generally useful to bring all input variables to a similar numerical range

H. Voss, Multivariate Data Analysis and Machine Learning in High Energy Physics http://tmva.sourceforge.net/talks.shtml

Example of a feature transformation **Adilipic of a leature transion** Of course, these can easily de-correlated: l 22 txan

 $\mathbf{C}^1 = \sqrt{\mathbf{var} \, \mathbf{0}^2 + \mathbf{var} \, \mathbf{1}^2}$ (v_2r_0) $var 0^1 = \sqrt{var 0^2 + var 1^2}$ $var1 - \alpha \tan$ var 1 = atan $\left(\frac{\text{var}\,0}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ var1 $($ var 0 $)$ $=$ a tan $\left(\frac{\text{var}}{\text{var}}\right)$

ullar varks vall works well <u>اد</u> a linear classifier wor after feature transformation In this case a linear classifier works well
after feature transformation

H. Voss, Multivariate Data Analysis and Machine Learning in High Energy Physics http://tmva.sourceforge.net/talks.shtml

Classifiers and Their Properties

H. Voss, Multivariate Data Analysis and Machine Learning in High Energy Physics http://tmva.sourceforge.net/talks.shtml *I*ultivariate Data Analysis and iviachine Learning in High Energy Priysics
a.sourceforge.net/talks.shtml

Contents

1. Quick tour of methods and applications

2. A selection of methods for multivariate classification

3. More on neural networks

Universal approximation theorem

heorem van die neuronsie neuronsie networkstelling of neuronsie networkstelling of neurons: multiplanetworkstell
Die networkstelling of networkstelling of neuronsie networkstelling of neuronsie neuronsie neuronsie networks https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Universal_approximation_theorem

"A feed-forward network with a single hidden layer containing a finite number of neurons (i.e., a multilayer perceptron), can approximate continuous functions on compact subsets of ℝ*n*."

One of the first versions of the theorem was proved by George Cybenko in 1989 for sigmoid activation functions

The theorem does not touch upon the algorithmic learnability of those parameters

Gradient Descent

Stochastic gradient descent

- ‣ just uses one training event at a time
- ▶ fast, but quite irregular approach to the minimum
- ‣ can help escape local minima
- ‣ one can decrease learning rate to settle at the minimum ("simulated annealing")

Batch gradient descent

- ▶ use entire training sample to calculate gradient of loss function
- ‣ computationally expensive
- Mini-batch gradient descent
	- ‣ calculate gradient for a random sub-sample of the training set

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 53

Softmax output layer

Classification with *n* exclusive categories (*y* = 1, 2, …, *n*):

Score of category *i* for a given input:

> $s_i = \vec{w}_i^{\mathsf{T}}$ $\vec{h} + b_i$

Softmax function:

$$
P_j := P(y = j) = \frac{e^{s_j}}{\sum_{i=1}^n e^{s_i}}
$$

Translate *n* dimensional vector of "scores" (arbitrary real values) to *n* dimensional vector of values *P*j with

$$
0\leq P_j\leq 1,\quad \sum_j P_j=1
$$

Values *P*j interpreted as probabilities (cf. *logistic regression* for binary classification).

Regularization

Activation functions


```
Leaky ReLU
max(0.1x, x)
```


Maxout
max($w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2$)

Sigmoid activation function

http://cs231n.stanford.edu/slides

$$
\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}
$$

- Sigmoid outputs are not zerocentered
- exp() is a bit compute expensive iit compute ex

Sigmoid

ReLU

ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit)

- $f(x) = \max(0, x)$
- Does not saturate (in +region)
- Very computationally efficient
- **Converges much faster than** sigmoid/tanh in practice (e.g. 6x)
- Actually more biologically plausible than sigmoid plausible than signified

 P_{11} But: gradient vanishes for *x* < 0

Practical tips Practical tips

- Use ReLU. Be careful with your learning rates
- Try out Leaky ReLU / Maxout / ELU
- Try out tanh but don't expect much
- Don't use sigmoid

Fei-Fei Li & Justin Johnson & Serena Yeung, Convolutional Neural Networks for Visual Recognition, http://cs231n.stanford.edu/slides/2017/cs231n_2017_lecture6.pdf

Xavier and He initialization

- Initial weights determine speed of convergence and whether algorithm converges at all
- Xavier Glorot and Yoshua Bengio
	- ▶ Paper "Understanding the **Difficulty of Training Deep** [Feedforward Neural Networks](http://goo.gl/1rhAef)"
	- ▶ Idea: Variance of the outputs of each layer to be equal to the variance of its inputs just uses one training event at a time

Neuron with *n*in inputs connected to *n*_{out} neurons in the next layer

Introduction: Multivariate analysis and machine learning | K. Reygers 60