Quark-Gluon Plasma Physics

3. Thermodynamics of the QGP

Prof. Dr. Klaus Reygers, Prof. Dr. Johanna Stachel Heidelberg University SS 2019

3.1 QGP thermodynamics in bag model

Thermodynamics of
relativistic Bose gas
relativistic Fermi-gas
Bag model of hadrons
Constructing the phase diagram between pion gas and QGP
realistic hadron gas and QGP

3.1.1 Thermodynamics of a relativistic Bose gas

probability density for occupation of state with relativistic energy E and degeneracy g

$$N(E) = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^3} (\exp(\frac{E - \mu}{T}) - 1)^{-1}$$

with energy $E^2 = p^2 + m^2$

(note: here \hbar =c=1)

and chemical potential μ controlling the average number of particles vs antiparticles

neglecting the particle mass (okay since in interesting region $E = 3T \gg m$) and chemical potential (good as long as no additive quantum number)

Boson number density
$$n = \int N(E)d^3p = \frac{4\pi g}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{p^2dp}{\exp(\frac{p}{T}) - 1}$$

$$n = \frac{g}{\pi^2} T^3 \zeta(3)$$

with Riemann ζ -function $\zeta(3) \approx 1.2$

Thermodynamics of a relativistic Bose gas

$$\epsilon = \int N(E)pd^3p = \frac{4\pi g}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{p^3dp}{\exp(\frac{p}{T}) - 1}$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{3g}{\pi^2} T^4 \zeta(4)$$

with Rieman
$$\,\zeta$$
-function $\,\zeta(4)=\frac{\pi^4}{90}\approx 1.08\,$

$$\epsilon = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g T^4$$

and we get the Energy per particle $\epsilon/n = 3T \frac{\zeta(4)}{\zeta(3)} \approx 2.7 \, T$

Boson pressure
$$P = n^2 \partial \frac{\epsilon}{n} / \partial n \rightarrow P = \frac{1}{3} \epsilon$$

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma=\mathrm{d}\epsilon/\mathrm{T}$$
 and

Entropy density
$$d\sigma = d\epsilon/T$$
 and $d\epsilon = const. T^3 dT$

$$\sigma = \int d\sigma = \text{const.} \int T^2 dT = \frac{1}{3} \text{const.} T^3 \qquad \to \boxed{\sigma = \frac{1}{3} \frac{d\epsilon}{dT}}$$
$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi^2}{90} \text{ g } T^3$$

$$\rightarrow \sigma = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}{\mathrm{d}\tau}$$

J. Stachel. K. Reygers | QGP physics SS2019 | 3. Thermodynamics of the QGP

Thermodynamics of a relativistic Bose gas

and the entropy per particle (boson) $\sigma/n = 4\zeta(4)/\zeta(3) \approx 3.6$

cf. old Landau formula for pions: S = 3.6 dN/dy

3.1.2 Thermodynamics of a relativistic Fermi gas

probability density for occupation
$$N(E) = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^3} (\exp(\frac{E-\mu}{T}) + 1)^{-1}$$

but now μ not generally 0

Fermion number density
$$n = \frac{4\pi g}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{p^2 dp}{\exp(\frac{p-\mu}{T}) + 1}$$
 cannot be solved analytically, only numerically

$$\epsilon = \frac{4\pi g}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{p^3 dp}{\exp(\frac{p-\mu}{T}) + 1}$$

but there is analytic solution for sum of particle and antiparticle (e.g. quark and antiquark) (Chin, PLB 78 (1978) 552)

and
$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\rm q} + \epsilon_{\bar{\rm q}} &= g(\frac{7\pi^2}{120} T^4 + \frac{\mu^2}{4} T^2 + \frac{\mu^4}{8\pi^2}) \\ n_{\rm q} - n_{\bar{\rm q}} &= g(\frac{\mu}{6} T^2 + \frac{\mu^3}{6\pi^2}) \end{aligned}$$

specific example for fermions: quarks in QGP with no net baryon density (LHC)

$$\langle q \rangle = \langle \bar{q} \rangle \leftrightarrow \mu = 0$$

in that case quark number density $n_q = \frac{g}{\pi^2} T^3 d(3)$

Note:
$$d(\alpha + 2) = \int \frac{x^{\alpha} dx}{e^{x} + 1}$$
 and $d(3) \approx 0.9$

and quark and antiquark energy density $\epsilon_{\rm q} = \epsilon_{\bar{\rm q}} = \frac{3 {
m g}}{\pi^2} \, {
m T}^4 \, {
m d}(4) = \frac{7 \pi^2}{240} \, {
m g} \, {
m T}^4$

with
$$d(4) = \frac{7\pi^4}{720}$$

the <u>energy per quark</u> is then $\epsilon/n = 3T \frac{d(4)}{d(3)} \approx 3.2T$

Entropy density (computed as above for bosons)

$$\sigma = \frac{7\pi^2}{180} \,\mathrm{g} \,\mathrm{T}^3$$

and the entropy per fermion (quark) $\sigma/n = 4 \frac{d(4)}{d(3)} pprox 4.2$

Summary relativistic bosons and fermions (no chem.pot.)

- Energy density $\epsilon \propto T^4$
- Pressure $P = \frac{1}{3}\epsilon \propto T^4$
- Entropy density $\sigma \propto T^3$
- lacksquare Particle number density $n \propto T^3$
- → to obtain physical units of GeV/fm³ or fm⁻³, multiply with appropriate powers of ħc
- all are proportional to the number of degrees of freedom
- between bosons and fermions there is a factor 7/8

$$\epsilon_{\mathrm{f}} = \frac{7}{8}\epsilon_{\mathrm{b}}$$
 etc.

3.1.3 Short excursion: the bag model


to deal with QCD in the <u>nonperturbative regime</u> (i.e. where α_s is not negligible) one needs to make models (alternative: lattice QCD see below) for instance to treat the nucleon and its excitations

MIT bag model: build confinement and asymptotic freedom into simple phenomenological model

A. Chodos, R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C.B. Thorne, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 2599

T. DeGrand, R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, J. Kiskis, Phys. Rev. D12 (1975) 2060 hadrons considered as bags embedded into a non-perturbative QCD vacuum also called "physical vacuum" or "normal QCD vacuum"

space divided into 2 regions



Interior of bag: quarks have very small (current) masses, interaction weak

Exterior of bag: quarks are not allowed to propagate there, lower vacuum energy, no colored quarks or gluons but quark and gluon condensates

Hadrons in MIT bag model


Hadrons are considered drops of another, perturbative phase of QCD immersed into normal QCD vacuum

all non-perturbative physics included in one universal quantity, the bag constant B defined as the difference in energy density between perturbative and physical vacua:

$$\epsilon_{\rm bag} - \epsilon_{\rm vac} \equiv B > 0$$

solve Dirac equation for massless quarks inside bag with volume V and surface S with special boundary conditions at the surface that

- i) enforce confinement: quark current normal to bag surface = 0
- ii) define a stability condition for bag: pressure of Dirac particles inside is balanced by difference in energy density inside and outside



Hadrons in MIT bag model

(spherical bag with radius R) bag term equilibrium is reached

obtain e.g. for nucleon mass (spherical bag with 3 quarks in s-state)

$$E=3\frac{\omega_{n,-1}}{R}+\frac{4\pi}{3}BR^3 \qquad \text{with} \quad \omega_{1,-1}=2.04 \qquad \omega_{2,-1}=5.40 \qquad ...$$
 and
$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial R}=0$$

internal energy determines the radius of the bag, if B is a universal constant

 determines masses and sizes of all hadrons rather successful with $B_{\rm MIT} = 56 \, {\rm MeV/fm^3}$ baryon octet and decuplet as well as vector mesons well reproduced

note: often instead of B, B^{1/4} in MeV is quoted $B_{MIT}^{1/4} = 146 \,\mathrm{MeV}$

3.1.4 Thermodynamics of pion gas and QGP

pion gas: massless bosons with degeneracy $g_{\pi}=3$ for $\pi^+,\pi^0,\pi^$ energy density of pion gas $\epsilon_\pi = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_\pi T^4 = 129 \, T^4$ and pressure $P = \frac{1}{3} \epsilon = 43 \, T^4$ after properly inserting missing powers of $\hbar c$ and using T in GeV

gluons as massless bosons with degeneracy g_{α} = 2(spin) x 8(color) = 16 quarks massless fermions with degeneracy $g_{\alpha} = N_f \times 2(spin) \times 3(color) = 6 N_f$ and same for antiquarks (here N_r is number of massless/light flavors)

additional contribution to energy density: to make quark-gluon gas, need to create cavity in vacuum

energy needed is given by the bag constant B "pressure of vacuum on color field" analogy to Meissner effect: superconductor expells magnetic field

← QCD vacuum expels color field into bags

$$\rightarrow \epsilon = \epsilon_{\rm thermal} + B$$

quark-gluon plasma:

 $ightarrow \epsilon = \epsilon_{
m thermal} + {
m B}$ and deriving pressure as above ${
m P} = {1\over 3}(\epsilon - 4{
m B})$

$$P = \frac{1}{3}(\epsilon - 4B)$$

3.1.4 Thermodynamics of pion gas and QGP

What value to use for the bag constant? from hadron phenomenology at T=0 and normal nuclear matter density

$$B \approx 50 - 100 \,\mathrm{MeV/fm^3}$$

but there are a number of problems with MIT bag model

and there is good indication that B derived there is not the energy density of the QCD vacuum; conclusion: hadrons are not small drops of the new QCD phase but only a relatively small perturbation of the QCD vacuum

also B = B(T,n) (see e.g. Shuryak, the QCD vacuum...) basic argument: at large T,n all non-perturbative phenomena suppressed $\rm B_{eff} \approx 500-1000\,MeV/fm^3$ vacuum energy density

energy density of quark-gluon gas

$$\epsilon_{\rm qg} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} ({\rm g_g} + \frac{7}{8} {\rm g_q}) {\rm T^4} + {\rm B} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} (16 + \frac{21}{2} {\rm N_f}) {\rm T^4} + {\rm B}$$
 for N_f = 2 (u,d)
$$\epsilon_{\rm qg} = 1592 \, {\rm T^4} + 0.5 \quad (\frac{{\rm GeV}}{{\rm fm}^3})$$

Constructing the phase diagram

system always in phase with highest pressure

Gibbs conditions for critical point

$$P_{QGP} = P_{piongas}$$

and

$$\mu_{\text{QGP}} = \mu_{\text{piongas}} (=0)$$


for N_f = 2
$$\frac{3\pi^2}{90} T_c^4 = \frac{\pi^2}{90} (16 + \frac{21}{2} N_f) T^4 - B$$

$$\frac{34\pi^2}{90} T_c^4 = B \qquad T_c = (\frac{90 \cdot 0.5 \text{GeV} \cdot 0.197^3 \text{GeV}^3 \text{fm}^3}{34\pi^2 \text{fm}^3})^{1/4} = 0.18 \, \text{GeV}$$

latent heat:
$$\epsilon_{\rm qg} - \epsilon_{\rm pion}({\rm atT_c}) = \frac{34\pi^2}{30} {\rm T_c}^4 + {\rm B}$$

$$= 1.54 + 0.5 = 2 \frac{{\rm GeV}}{{\rm fm}^3}$$
 change in entropy density:
$$\sigma_{\rm qg} - \sigma_{\rm pion} = \frac{34 \cdot 4\pi^2}{90} {\rm T_c}^3 = 11.4/{\rm fm}^3$$

$$\sigma_{
m qg} - \sigma_{
m pion} = rac{34 \cdot 4\pi^2}{90} {
m T_c^3} = 11.4/{
m fm^3}$$



Now check the high baryon density limit

compute a T = 0 $\mu \neq 0$ point cannot do this with pions alone, need nucleons

$$P_{\mathrm{pion}} = 0$$
 $P_{\mathrm{nucleon}} = \frac{g\mu^4}{3 \cdot 8\pi^2}$ with g=4 (2(spin) x 2(isospin))

for the quark-gluon side at T=0


$${
m P}_{{
m q}ar{{
m q}}}=rac{{
m g}\mu^4}{3\cdot 8\pi^2}-{
m B}~~{
m with~g=12}$$
 (quarks and antiquarks)

$$\begin{split} P_{nucleon} &= P_{q\bar{q}} \quad \rightarrow \\ \mu &= (\frac{3\pi^2 \cdot 0.5 GeV \cdot 0.197^3 GeV^3 fm^3}{fm^3})^{1/4} \\ &= 0.58 \, GeV \end{split}$$

simple thermodynamik model gives first order phase transition,

<u>Caution</u>: this sets the scale, but there are a number of approximations


- pion gas is oversimplification for hadronic matter
- B = 0.5 GeV/fm^3 (should use B(T,n))



3.1.5 more realistic: replace pion gas by hadron gas


implement all know hadrons up to 2 GeV in mass ideal gas of quarks and gluons, u,d massless, s 150 MeV fix bag constant to match lattice QCD result (see below) at μ_b =0 \longrightarrow B=262 MeV/fm³

compute $P(\mu_b,T)$ with with pressure and μ_b continuous to obtain T_c




P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel Nucl. Phys. A606 (1996) 320

Phase diagram constructed with hadron gas and QGP



P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel Nucl. Phys. A606 (1996) 320



Note: chemical potential is continuous at phase transition but not the baryon density!

3.2 Lattice QCD

QCD asymptotically free at large T and/or small distances at low T and for finite size systems $\alpha_s = O(1)$

cannot use perturbation theory

instead define QCD at zero and finite temperature by putting gauge field on a spacetime lattice and find solutions by solving path integrals numerically

◆ "lattice QCD"


formulated by K. Wilson in 1974 (Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 2445)

recent reviews:

A. Ukawa arXiv: J. Stat. Phys. 160 (2015) 1081, arXiv: 1501.04215 [hep-lat] H.T. Ding, F. Karsch, S. Mukherjee, Int. J. Mod Phys. E24 (2015) 153007, arXiv: 1504.05274 [hep-lat]

Lattice QCD - schematic outline of basic (3) steps

- i) use evolution in Euclidean time $\tau=it$ instead of Minkowski time to eliminate oscillations due to complex action
- ii) replace Euclidean x,τ continuum by finite lattice



field theory with infinite number of degrees of freedom \rightarrow finite many body problem quantum field theory equivalent to classical statistical mechanics with $\exp(-iHt) \rightarrow \exp(-H\tau) = \exp(-S)$

Powerful connection between quantum field theory and statistical mechanics (already realized in 1960ies by K. Symanzik)

Lattice QCD basic steps

iii) evaluate partition function Z by using Feynman path integrals

$$Z = Tr \exp(-H_{QCD}\tau)$$


$$Z = \int \Pi_{links} dU_{ij} \exp(-S(U))$$
 action, given by sum over elementary plaquette

K. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 2445

iv) lattices need to be big! e.g. 16³ x 32 sites have to sum over all color indices at each link → integral 10³ dimensional start with some values U_{ij} for all links, successively reassign new elements to reduce computing time: use stochastic technique with clever weighting (exp(-S(U) favors small action)

have to sweep through entire lattice a few hundred times to evaluate thermodynamic quantities, baryon masses, wave functions

Huge increase in computing power since Wilson 1974




evolution of peak speed of supercomputers and improved algorithms:

now lattice QCD is a mature technique with increasingly reliable results

State-of-the-art light hadron spectrum from lattice QCD

S. Dürr, Z. Fodor et al. (Budapest-Marseille-Wuppertal Coll.) Science 322 (2008) 1225




Equation of state in lattice QCD

consolidated results from different groups, extrapolated to continuum and chiral limit

rapid rise of energy density (normalized to T⁴ rise for relativistic gas)


- signals rapid increase in degrees of freedom due to transition from hadrons to quarks and gluons



What is most realistic value of the critical temperature?

order parameter: chiral condensate, smooth behavior across phase conversion its susceptibility peaks at T_c


S.Borsayi et al. Wuppertal-Budapest Coll., JHEP 1009 (2010) 073 A.Bazavov et al. HotQCD Coll., PRD 85 (2012) 054503



$$\langle \bar{\Psi}\Psi \rangle = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial m}$$
$$\chi_{\bar{\Psi}\Psi} = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial m^2}$$

T_c from peak in chiral susceptibility = 154 ± 9 MeV for chiral restoration

Measure of deconfinement in IQCD




rapid drop suggests: chiral cross over and deconfinement appear in the same narrow temperature range

Order of phase transition

present state-of-the art lattice QCD simulations give smooth cross over for realistic quark masses

critical role of strange quark mass



Phase diagram in 2+1 flavor QCD (arXiv: 1504.05273


Lattice QCD at finite baryon density

Lattice QCD at non-equal numbers of fermions and antifermions (non-zero baryon chemical potential) has a problem:

- for Fermions the partition function contains a Slater determinant
- for non-zero chemical potential this Slater determinant is complex
- straight forward importance sampling not possible
- oscillations i.e. the lattice QCD sign problem


$$\det M(\mu) = |\det M(\mu)|e^{i\theta}$$

dominant configurations in the path integral?



Use Taylor expansion to extrapolate into region of finite chemical potential

Lattice QCD at finite baryon density



for experimental points see chapter 5